



Immigration, Refugees  
and Citizenship Canada

Immigration, Réfugiés  
et Citoyenneté Canada

# OP 1

## Procedures

Canada 

## Table of contents

Updates to chapter .....	5
Listing by date .....	5
1 What this chapter is about .....	10
2 Program objectives .....	10
3 The Act and its Regulations .....	10
3.1 Forms .....	11
4 Instruments and delegations .....	11
5 Departmental policy .....	11
5.1 Mandate of the International Region .....	11
5.2 Principles of the International Region .....	11
5.3 Vision of the International Region .....	12
5.4 Relationships and dependency .....	12
5.5 Adoptions .....	12
5.6 Categories of foreign nationals .....	12
5.7 Children of unmarried parents .....	13
5.8 Divorced foreign nationals .....	13
5.9 DNA test for relationship .....	14
5.10 When is a DNA test appropriate? .....	14
5.11 DNA results .....	14
5.12 DNA test request letter and DNA companies .....	15
5.13 Requirement for truthfulness .....	15
5.14 Processing priorities .....	16
5.15 Who must apply for a visa? .....	16
5.16 Where an application must be submitted? .....	17
5.17 What is meant by "lawfully admitted" .....	18
5.18 Acknowledgment of receipt (AOR) of the application .....	21
5.19 File transfer .....	21
5.20 Procedure [R11(1)]: permanent residence applications .....	23
5.21 Procedure [R11(2)]: temporary resident visas, study permits and work permits ..	24
5.22 Permanent resident travel document (PRTD) .....	24
5.23 Applications from illegal residents .....	25
5.24 Lock-in date .....	25
5.25. Fee refunds when applicant changes economic category .....	26
5.26 Language of application forms and form letters .....	27
5.27 Security screening of foreign nationals .....	28
5.28 Extending the validity of visas .....	28

## OP 1 Procedures

5.29 Working with lawyers and consultants.....	29
5.30 Responding to case status inquiries .....	29
5.31 Counselling applicants during interview .....	29
5.32 Non-specific inquiries .....	30
5.33 Private versus group information sessions .....	30
5.34 Presence of counsel .....	30
5.35 Interpreters or translators .....	30
5.36 Taping interviews .....	31
5.37 Representatives in CAIPS/FOSS/GCMS .....	31
5.38 Invitations to attend functions .....	31
5.39 Response to inquiries and representations: clients and representatives .....	31
6 Authorization to return to Canada .....	34
6.1 Overarching principles for processing authorization to return to Canada (ARC) applications .....	34
6.2 Factors to consider when assessing an ARC application .....	35
6.3 The download of previously deported persons into CPIC .....	36
6.4 Who requires an ARC? (under the authorization of the Minister of IRCC) .....	37
6.5 Issuing an ARC [IMM 1203B] .....	37
6.6 Effect of ARC decisions on the PDP database .....	38
6.7. Amending an ARC decision .....	39
6.8. Repayment of removal expenses incurred by the CBSA .....	40
7 Definitions .....	40
7.1 Who is a foreign national? .....	40
7.2 Who is a permanent resident? .....	40
7.3 What is an application? .....	40
7.4 Who can be the principal applicant?.....	41
7.5 Who is an accompanying family member? .....	42
8 Procedural fairness .....	42
9 Procedure: <i>Access to Information Act</i> and <i>Privacy Act</i> .....	44
9.1 Responding to requests for information: <i>Privacy Act</i> .....	44
9.2 Members of Parliament .....	45
9.3 Exempt information .....	47
9.4 Requests from IRCC and CPCs for visa office files.....	47
9.5 Responding to requests for information: <i>Access to Information Act</i> .....	47
10 Procedure: use of federal government identifiers .....	48
Use of Canadian State Symbols Abroad (summary of the protocol) .....	49
State Emblems Communicated Under Article 6 <sup>ter</sup> of the Paris Convention.....	50
11 Procedure: conducting interviews.....	53

## OP 1 Procedures

12 Procedure: case notes .....	53
13 Procedure: DNA tests .....	53
13.1 Information for applicants .....	53
13.2 DNA testing of relatives.....	54
14 Procedure: DNA testing .....	55
15 Procedure: high-profile, contentious and sensitive cases.....	56
16 Procedure: applications from diplomatic, consular and official personnel .....	56
17 Procedure: responses to enquiries after refusal.....	56
18 Procedure: humanitarian and compassionate considerations.....	57
19 Procedure: document retention and disposal .....	57
19.1. Principles guiding the retention and disposal of documents .....	58
19.2. Guidelines for the retention and disposal of documents .....	58
Appendix A Where to apply for a permanent resident visa, temporary resident visa, study permit, work permit or permanent resident travel document.....	61
Appendix B Sample response to enquiries after refusal.....	76
Appendix C Revocation of authorization and direction .....	77
Appendix D Sample letter requesting a DNA test (to be adapted to your needs) .....	79
Appendix E Acknowledgment of receipt (AOR) letter (sample wording).....	81
Appendix F Disposal of paper documentation .....	83
Appendix G Sample ARC letters.....	85

## Updates to chapter

### Listing by date

#### 2016-03-15

- Section 6.5 has been updated to reflect that applicants from visa-exempt countries who apply for an authorization to return to Canada also require an electronic travel authorization (eTA).
- Appendix A has been updated to reflect that applications from citizens and permanent residents of Gambia are now processed in Dakar.

#### 2016-01-25

- Hyperlinks to the [Standards Council of Canada's list of laboratories](#) accredited to conduct DNA relationship testing for Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada (IRCC) have been inserted into sections 5.10, 5.11, 5.12, and 14.
- Appendix D (*Sample letter requesting a DNA test*) has been updated to add a hyperlink to the [Standards Council of Canada's list of laboratories](#) accredited to conduct DNA relationship testing.
- Appendix E (*Laboratories accredited by the Standards Council of Canada for DNA testing*) has been removed, along with any reference to it elsewhere in the Manual.
- Appendix F is now Appendix E.
- Appendix G is now Appendix F.
- Appendix H is now Appendix G.

#### 2013-11-18

The list of accredited laboratories for DNA testing in Appendix E has been updated.

#### 2013-05-10

Sections 5.17, 5.39, and 7.3 have been updated as a result of the implementation of biometric collection from prescribed applicants for a temporary resident visa, work permit, or study permit outside Canada.

These updates have been added to the intranet version only as the *Biometrics Procedures* (BP 1) manual is currently posted on intranet.

#### 2012-12-05

Appendix E – Addition of DNA testing laboratory

#### 2011-08-19

## **OP 1 Procedures**

Appendix A – Burkina-Faso, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Mali, Mauritania, Niger and Senegal updated to reflect recent changes.

### **2010-09-23**

- Section 3.1 – Removed reference to bilingual IMM 5257.
- Section 5.5 – Removed details and referred to OP 3.
- Section 5.14 – Inserted reference to disaster procedures as per OB 183.
- Section 5.16 – Updated URL.
- Section 5.19 – Inserted “see also” reference to Lock-in date.
- Section 5.24 – Inserted “see also” reference to File Transfer.
- Section 5.29 – Removed URL and inserted reference as per OB 055.
- Section 5.36 – Clarification of taping interview.
- Section 5.38 – URL updated.
- Section 5.39 – Updates in contacts provided by IR.
- Section 6 – Minor Authorization to return to Canada (ARC) update/clarification.
- Section 7.4 – Rephrasing of principal applicant.
- Section 8 – Removed reference to personal suitability.
- Section 9.1 – Addition of reference to email.
- Section 12 – Updated URLs, removed reference to CIC Explore.
- Appendix A – Changed references from Port-au-Prince to Santo Domingo, and from Prague to Vienna.

### **2010-03-31**

Appendix E – Updated references from Molecular World and Warnex Medical Laboratories to Warnex PRO-DNA and Warnex PRO-AND.

### **2009-07-28**

Appendix A was updated to indicate where applicants from the Federal Skilled Worker class must send their permanent residence applications.

### **2008-11-03**

This update contains important information for all IRCC and CBSA staff who may be involved in the handling of high-profile cases. It applies to all citizenship and immigration program lines.

The standing procedural instruction in section 15, on high-profile or contentious cases has been updated with new instructions and distribution lists for missions abroad, IRCC offices in Canada and CBSA offices at ports of entry or inland. This new instruction is intended to replace the RIM 057 instruction on the IR Website on management of sensitive cases as well as similar instructions that may have been developed locally or for specific program lines.

## OP 1 Procedures

All local or program-specific instructions on handling high-profile cases should now be replaced by a link to OP 1, Section 15.

Appendix E – The list of accredited DNA laboratories has been updated.

### 2008-01-25

- Section 5.17 – Addition of information clarifying what is meant by “lawful admission” and what are the correct procedures for processing accompanying family members as per R11.
- Section 5.24 – Addition of information regarding the application and lock-in dates for Quebec economic cases and for successful IAD appeals and judicial reviews.
- Section 5.25 – Addition of section “Fee refunds when applicant changes economic category”.
- Section 5.38 – Deletion of option 2 under B) Local office procedures.
- Section 6.1 – Addition of section “Overarching principles for processing ARC applications”.
- Section 6.2 – Addition of section “Factors to consider when assessing ARC applications”.
- Section 6.3 – Addition of section “The download of Previously Deported Persons (PDP) into CPIC”.
- Section 9.2 – Clarification added regarding communication with MPs.
- Section 10 – Addition of summary of protocol on the use of Canadian state symbols abroad, plus annexes of Canadian emblems.
- Section 12 – Inclusion of further best practices for CAIPS notes.
- Section 13.1 – Addition of information regarding how to continue processing if the client withdraws consent for DNA test.
- Section 14 – Correction made to appendix reference for DNA labs (Appendix E).
- Appendix A – Changes in “Where to apply” for Bahrain, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Democratic Republic of Congo, Gabon, Guinea, Kuwait, Mali, Niger, Oman, Palestinian Authority, Qatar, Republic of Congo, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, and Yemen.
- Appendix C – Correction of the form number of the Authority to Release Information to Designated Individuals [IMM 5475].
- Appendix E – Updated list of accredited DNA labs.
- Appendix H – Addition of Appendix “Sample ARC letters”.

### 2005-12-07

- Section 5.12 – References to the sample letter to be used when requesting a DNA test (found at Appendix D) have been added.
- Section 5.16 – “Where an application must be submitted” – now includes the website for a version of the document “Where to apply for a permanent resident visa, temporary resident visa, study permit, work permit and permanent resident travel document”.

## OP 1 Procedures

- Section 5.17 – Additions were made to section “What is meant by ‘lawfully admitted’”.
- Section 5.18 – Acknowledgement of receipt (AOR) of the application (formerly section 5.42) has been amended.
- Section 5.19 – Addition of section “File transfer”.
- Section 5.20 – Addition of section “Procedure - R11(1) - Permanent resident applications”.
- Section 5.21 – Addition of section “Procedure - R11(2) - Temporary resident visas, study permits, and work permits”.
- Section 5.22 – Addition of section “Permanent resident travel document (PRTD)”.
- Section 5.28 – Working with lawyers and consultants - The text of this section has been deleted and a link to IP 9 “Use of Representatives, Paid and Unpaid” has been inserted.
- Section 5.30 – “Counselling applicants during interview” was formerly section 5.27.
- Section 5.37 – “Invitations to attend functions” (formerly section 5.41) now includes a website to Conflict of Interest Measures found in the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Service.
- Section 5.38 – Addition of section “Response to inquiries and representations - clients and representatives”.
- Section 13.1 – Reference to the sample letter to be used when requesting a DNA test (found at Appendix D) has been added.
- Appendix C – “Service standards for communications with practitioners - lawyers and consultants” has been deleted and replaced by “Revocation of authorization and direction” (formerly Appendix D).
- Appendix D – now is a sample letter to be used when requesting a DNA test. This new letter sets out for the applicant the reasons for requesting the DNA test. The letter also reinforces that the DNA test is not mandatory to prove a relationship.
- Former Appendix E – “Acknowledgment of receipt letter (sample wording)” has now become Appendix F.
- Appendix formerly called “Appendix G - Where to apply for a travel document (permanent resident abroad)” has been deleted. (Information formerly available in this appendix is now part of Appendix A.
- Appendix G is now “Disposal of paper documentation”.
- All appendices were reorganized according to the above-referenced changes.

### **The following sections were deleted:**

- Authority to disclose personal information – formerly section 5.24
- Copying applicants on correspondence to a designated representative – formerly section 5.25
- Refusing to communicate with a designated representative – formerly section 5.26
- Letters of non-objection – formerly section 5.34
- Advertising guarantees by practitioners – formerly section 5.35
- Verification of information/documents with a third party – formerly section 5.36
- Retention of visas for payment – formerly section 5.37
- Offshore processing of immigration applications – formerly section 5.38



## **OP 1 Procedures**

- Service standards – formerly section 5.40

### **2004-11-09**

- Section 19 – Document retention and disposal–has been added.
- Appendix F has been modified to reflect the addition of Molecular World Inc. to the list of laboratories accredited by the Standard Council of Canada for DNA testing.
- Appendix H – Disposal of paper documentation–has been added.

### **2004-08-09**

Appendix F has been modified to reflect the addition of Genetrack Biolabs Inc. to the list of laboratories accredited by the Standards Council of Canada for DNA testing.

### **2004-05-11**

Section 5.31 (Presence of counsel) has been changed to reflect the decision of the Federal Court of Appeal in *Ha v. MCI* which found that in the particular circumstance of the case, procedural fairness required that counsel be allowed to be present at interview. Visa offices, when confronted with a request to have counsel present at interview, are asked to consult with NHQ/RIM.

### **2003-06-13**

Appendix A – “USA” should read “United States of America”.

### **2003-05-15**

- Appendix G added.
- Section 5.16: reference to Appendix G added.

### **2003-05-05**

Section 6: Authorization to Return to Canada and the download of Previously Deported Persons onto CPIC. Amendments have been made to the procedures for the issuance of an Authorization to Return to Canada (ARC) for offices outside Canada. New procedures have been implemented for inputting the ARC document into CAIPS, which has the effect of removing the PDP information from the CPIC-PDP database.

## 1 What this chapter is about

This chapter provides basic information that applies to activities described in other chapters.

Included in the basic information are general processing guidelines. Following them will help officers meet the objectives of immigration policy, and comply with the mandate, principles and vision of the International Region.

## 2 Program objectives

Subsections A3(1) and (2) describe the objectives of the immigration program.

## 3 The Act and its Regulations

For more information, see the following legislation.

<b>For information about</b>	<b>Refer to this section of the Act or its Regulations</b>
Foreign national	A2(1)
Permanent resident	A2(1)
Objectives - immigration	A3(1)
Objectives - refugees	A3(2)
Application of Act and Regulations	A3(3)
Humanitarian and compassionate considerations	A25, R66, R67, R68, R69
Misrepresentation	A40
Authorization to return to Canada	A52(1)
Excluded relationships	R5, R125
What is an application	R10
Where an application must be submitted	R11, R150(1)
Returning an application	R12
Unenforced removal orders	R25
Inadmissibility of non-accompanying family members	R23
Issuance of a permanent resident visa	R70

## OP 1 Procedures

### 3.1 Forms

The forms required are shown in the following table.

Form title	Form number
Certificate of Departure	IMM 0056B
Authorization to Return to Canada pursuant to A52(1)	IMM 1203B
Application for Permanent Residence in Canada	IMM 0008E
Application for a Study Permit	IMM 1294B
Application for a Work Permit	IMM 1295B
Application for a Temporary Resident Visa	IMM 5257
Denial of Authorization to Return to Canada	IMM 1202B

## 4 Instruments and delegations

Pursuant to subsections A6(1) and (2), the Minister has designated persons or a class of persons as officers to carry out any purpose of any provision, legislative or regulatory, and has specified the powers and duties of the officers so designated. These delegations may be found in chapter IL 3, *Designation and Delegation*.

## 5 Departmental policy

### 5.1 Mandate of the International Region

The International Region delivers immigration programs abroad by

- selecting foreign nationals and refugees in accordance with the Government's plans and policies;
- facilitating the admission to Canada of genuine visitors for tourism, studies and temporary employment;
- contributing to the development of Canada's migration, refugee and social policies by reporting and analysing international trends and developments in these fields;
- advising on the foreign policy implications of domestic policies and on the impact of international migration trends in the domestic arena; and
- maintaining liaison with foreign governments, international agencies and non-governmental organizations.

### 5.2 Principles of the International Region

## **OP 1 Procedures**

International Region achieves excellence in fulfilling its mandate and realizing its vision through a shared commitment to

- professionalism;
- client service;
- integrity;
- accountability;
- teamwork;
- fairness and compassion.

### **5.3 Vision of the International Region**

International Region contributes to Canada's cultural diversity and to its economic prosperity and growth through our innovative, cost-effective and responsible management of Canadian immigration programs abroad.

International Region strives to achieve excellence in providing services which meet the diverse and changing needs of clients, partner agencies and the Canadian public.

As the principal representatives abroad of Canadian interests in the field of international migration, refugee and social policy issues, officers are advocates of Canadian values and practices in the delivery of immigration programs. Officers also play a crucial role in maintaining the safety and order of Canadian society by ensuring that individuals who pose security or criminal risks are denied entry to Canada.

### **5.4 Relationships and dependency**

For information on relationships and dependency see chapter OP 2, *Processing Members of the Family Class*.

### **5.5 Adoptions**

Refer to chapter OP 3, *Adoptions*.

### **5.6 Categories of foreign nationals**

The Act and Regulations distinguish between three broad categories of foreign nationals.

These categories are family class, economic class, and refugees or persons in refugee-like situations. The Act or Regulations define members of the family class, Convention refugees abroad class, country of asylum class and source country class.

The Regulations also define the economic class, which consists of the federal skilled worker class, Quebec skilled worker class, provincial nominee class, investor class, entrepreneur class and self-employed persons class.

### 5.7 Children of unmarried parents

Children of unmarried parents may be sponsored by either parent. They may also be accompanying family members of either parent.

In some circumstances, children born to unmarried parents may be unable to obtain standard proof of their relationship to their parents. Where this is the case, officers may consider documents that corroborate the claimed relationship.

These documents include the following:

- school records identifying the parent;
- proof of long-term support by the parent, such as money order receipts or income tax statements listing the applicant or accompanying child as a dependant;
- affidavits from prominent citizens attesting to their knowledge of the child's paternity or maternity from birth.

For residents of the province of Quebec, a legal ruling called *Reconnaissance de paternité* (Acknowledgment of Paternity), obtainable under provincial law, constitutes evidence of paternity. Other provinces may have similar declaratory judgments which would be equally acceptable. It is the sponsor's obligation to obtain such documents.

In the absence of these documents, officers may accept the results of a DNA test of relationship (see section 5.9).

Where these conditions cannot be met but the sponsor or principal applicant has always contributed to the child's support, development and welfare, and where other circumstances corroborate the claim to parenthood, including the agreement of the other parent or legal guardian, if applicable, then a positive decision is appropriate. To preclude future custody disputes, officers should, whenever possible, obtain consent for the child's immigration from their other parent or legal guardian.

In cases in which all possible proof of relationship is inadequate and the circumstances of the case do not warrant special consideration, officers should refuse the application. If the child has been listed as an accompanying family member, the principal applicant may delete the child from the application.

### 5.8 Divorced foreign nationals

Divorced applicants may have legal obligations arising from their divorce. These often include alimony or child-support payments, as well as the terms of child custody orders.

An obligation to pay alimony or child support is material only to an applicant's ability to adapt to Canada.

## OP 1 Procedures

Officers may not insist that applicants make arrangements to continue to pay alimony or child support. Nor can officers insist they submit proof that their ex-spouses or common-law partners are satisfied with payment arrangements. If these arrangements break down, the ex-spouses or common-law partners must apply to a Canadian court to have their rights recognized.

As well, it is illegal to abduct a child under 16 [*Criminal Code*, subsection 280(1)] or under 14 [*Criminal Code*, section 281] from the legal guardian (father, mother, guardian or other). Applicants, accompanied by children under the legal guardianship of someone who has not agreed to let them travel, are about to commit a criminal offence. They do not commit the offence until they depart for and arrive in Canada [They would then be inadmissible at the port of entry under section A36].

If there are reasonable grounds to believe applicants are about to commit an offence as described in section A36, officers should explain the situation to the applicants. The applicants must prove they will not be inadmissible for this reason. Written consent of the legal guardian or, if that is not possible, permission of a court, is satisfactory proof.

If the court attached conditions to a custody order (usually visiting rights), the officer must ask for the same proof of consent.

See also chapter OP 2, *Processing Members of the Family Class*.

## 5.9 DNA test for relationship

IRCC accepts DNA test results as proof of parent/child and sibling relationships. The test compares DNA profiles extracted from genetic material taken from persons claiming to be father, mother, children or siblings.

### 5.10 When is a DNA test appropriate?

A DNA test to prove relationship is a last resort. When documentary submissions are not satisfactory evidence of a *bona fide* relationship, officers may advise applicants that positive results of DNA tests from a laboratory accredited by the [Standards Council of Canada](#) for DNA relationship testing are an acceptable substitute for documents.

### 5.11 DNA results

In a standard, direct paternity or maternity test involving an alleged parent/child relationship, [laboratories accredited by the Standards Council of Canada](#) are to provide test results that are at least 99.8% certain. **Test results below this level are not acceptable.**

## OP 1 Procedures

If a DNA test result is presented as evidence of a relationship, officers must ensure the integrity of the testing procedure. As this test is offered in cases in which there are already doubts about claimed relationships, any possibility of fraud must be eliminated.

For more information, see

- DNA tests, section 13;
- Procedures for DNA testing, section 14.

### 5.12 DNA test request letter and DNA companies

A number of [laboratories have been accredited by the Standards Council of Canada](#) for DNA testing.

Note: IRCC does not have the authority to direct clients to choose one laboratory over another.

Officers should provide applicants with information that will allow them to make an informed decision about the testing laboratory they choose and whether to undergo DNA testing or not. See section 13, DNA tests, for the information that is to be provided to applicants and Appendix D for the DNA test request letter.

### 5.13 Requirement for truthfulness

Subsection A16(1) and section A127 require applicants to produce documentation and answer truthfully all questions related to their admissibility.

Untruthfulness may take the form of false oral or written statements, as well as false documents. Officers must decide if applicants intend to mislead an officer or are simply inaccurate. Their untruths must have direct bearing on their own or their family members' admissibility.

Applicants who do not comply with paragraph A16(1)(a) and section A127 may fall under subsection A40(1) for misrepresentation.

Applicants who misrepresent themselves may do so to conceal other grounds for inadmissibility. For example, someone who does not admit to a conviction may also be criminally inadmissible. In such a case, an officer must find the appropriate inadmissible class in the Act and cite it in the refusal.

If there are no other grounds for refusal, an officer must consider whether the untruth was pertinent to the question of admissibility.

Applicants should be advised, where appropriate, that withholding or misrepresenting information that is material to their applications may result in the refusal of that application

## OP 1 Procedures

under subsection A40(1), thereby rendering them inadmissible for entry into Canada for two years after that refusal.

For more information see chapter ENF 2, section 10, *Misrepresentation*.

### 5.14 Processing priorities

While Regulations do not establish processing priorities, operational priorities may be established.

Departmental policy requires that applications in the family class for spouses, common-law and conjugal partners, and dependent children be finalized within six months. Section 5.7 of chapter OP 2 lists exceptional circumstances that could result in visa offices legitimately not meeting these service standards.

Refugee applications classified as urgent or vulnerable should also receive priority processing. Chapter OP 5 provides definitions and instructions on processing urgent and vulnerable refugee applications.

In the event of a disaster, visa offices are reminded to continue to pull the applications of affected persons to the front of the queue for review, and advise the appropriate Geographic Desk, International Region of the situation. Given the variety of situations which can occur as a result of any disaster, it remains the applicant or sponsor's responsibility to demonstrate that they are negatively affected by the situation.

The *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* (IRPA) provides sufficient discretion to respond in a flexible and humane manner to emergency situations. Although files from clients in affected areas may be put to the front of a queue, this is neither a special program nor priority processing, and messaging should not reflect otherwise.

Where warranted, due to the severity of a disaster or surrounding issues, NHQ will issue specific instructions indicating what special programs or measures are to be implemented. These specific instructions would be provided to the applicable visa offices, inland offices and case processing centres, as well as the Call Centre. In the absence of disaster-specific instructions, visa offices are to respond in the manner outlined above.

### 5.15 Who must apply for a visa?

Except in prescribed cases, subsection A11(1) requires every foreign national to apply for and obtain a visa before they appear at a port of entry (POE).

Section R6 requires foreign nationals who wish to become permanent residents of Canada to obtain a permanent resident visa (PRV).



## OP 1 Procedures

Section R7 requires foreign nationals who wish to visit Canada to obtain a temporary resident visa (TRV) unless the Regulations exempt them from doing so.

### 5.16 Where an application must be submitted?

#### Section R11 impact

Section R11 specifies where applicants should submit their applications for permanent or temporary resident visas. The intent of section R11 is to direct applications to the processing office best informed to assess them thereby improving program integrity and security.

Appendix A, *Where to apply for a permanent resident visa, temporary resident visa, study permit, work permit or permanent resident travel document*, consists of a list of all countries in the world and the visa office responsible for each country. A version of Appendix A is also available on the IRCC website at [www.cic.gc.ca/english/information/offices/apply-where.asp](http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/information/offices/apply-where.asp) for reference by applicants who download their application forms and kits from this site. **For persons applying directly to offices, the attachment could be part of the kit which is sent back to the applicant.**

#### **Permanent resident applicants: family and economic classes (federal skilled workers, Quebec skilled workers, provincial nominees and business classes)**

Subsection R11(1) requires that all applicants for permanent residence (other than applicants who come under Part 8 of the Regulations [convention refugees abroad and humanitarian-protected persons abroad]) must submit their applications to the visa office responsible for

- the country where the applicant is residing, if the applicant has been lawfully admitted to that country for at least one year; or
- the applicant's country of nationality, or if the applicant is stateless, their country of habitual residence other than a country where they are residing without having been lawfully admitted.

#### **Temporary resident applicants**

Subsection R11(2) requires that applications for a temporary resident visa or a study or work permit must be made outside Canada at the visa office responsible for

- the country where the applicant is present and has been lawfully admitted; or
- the applicant's country of nationality or, if the applicant is stateless, their country of habitual residence other than a country in which they are residing without having been lawfully admitted.

## OP 1 Procedures

Presentation of a national passport should constitute satisfactory proof of the applicant's nationality, and the office responsible for the country in question will generally process the application whether the applicant lives in that country or not. However, this does not prevent the office, if it sees fit, from running checks with the office responsible for the applicant's country of habitual residence.

### 5.17 What is meant by "lawfully admitted"

The intent of section R11 is not to expend energy on front-end R11 eligibility screening, but rather to protect program integrity by ensuring that applications are submitted to offices with the appropriate expertise and local knowledge. However, there may be times when R11 eligibility will determine case processing actions (file transfers, for example) and the following information on "lawful admission" should assist in determining R11 eligibility in these cases.

1. For the purposes of R11, "lawful admission" is broadly defined and may cover many situations, a few of which are described below. However, the circumstances in which an individual **has not been lawfully admitted** and is therefore ineligible to apply at a visa office are limited to:

- i. persons who entered a country without status and still have no status in that country. Under section R11, they are not eligible to apply in the country where they currently are living without status.
- ii. persons who, at the time of the submission of their application, are not physically in a country served by the visa office through which they are applying. An applicant cannot send an application to a visa office if they are not physically in a country served by that visa office (unless it is their country of nationality).

2. For the purposes of section R11, situations in which an individual is considered to have been "lawfully admitted" will include (but are not limited to)

- i. persons who were lawfully admitted, but no longer have legal status when the application is submitted. For example, a person who has entered a country lawfully but at some time subsequent to lawful admission has lost legal immigration status is considered to have been lawfully admitted, whether or not status has been restored at the time of the application to the visa office. Such applicants may or may not qualify for a visa, but their application must be accepted for processing and assessed on its merits;
- ii. persons who initially were not lawfully admitted, but have since gained legal status and have legal status at the time an application is submitted;
- iii. where an individual enters a country illegally, and then makes a refugee claim, the claim must be finally determined. If positive, the person could be considered "lawfully admitted" on the date the positive decision is made on the claim. Making a refugee claim in itself does not regularize a person's immigration status and does not imply that the person has been "lawfully admitted". In Canada and the United States, during the processing of a refugee/asylum claim, the individual does not

## OP 1 Procedures

have lawful status. Therefore, a person would not be considered to be lawfully admitted until a positive decision has been received on the claim. However, other countries vary in their interpretation of what type of status an individual may have while awaiting a decision on a refugee claim. Therefore, officers should require applicants to provide documentary evidence of their lawful status. In all instances, a positive decision on a claim would certainly provide the individual with lawful status. It is the opinion of Legal Services that the making of a refugee claim alone does not regularize a person's immigration status for the purpose of making an application for a visa (either permanent or temporary) to Canada.

The granting of the asylum decision is the determinative date of a person's immigration status. The date the decision is rendered on the asylum claim becomes the date the individual is considered to be "lawfully admitted."

### 3. Subsection R11(1) also stipulates that an applicant must have been **lawfully admitted for at least one year when applying for permanent residence.**

Persons who are applying for permanent residence must be residing in and have been legally admitted for a period of **at least one year** to the country which the visa office receiving the application serves. The applicant is not required to have been residing in the country for one year at the time of application, but to have been lawfully admitted to that country for a minimum one-year period at the time of application.

For example, under subsection R11(1), an individual may have lawfully entered and be currently residing in a country on the basis of a one-year work permit. Anytime during that year, the individual would be eligible under subsection R11(1) to apply for permanent residence to Canada through the visa office responsible for applications from the country in which the individual is residing.

Applicants for temporary resident services must have been lawfully admitted (although there is no time requirement). Applicants do **not** need to have been lawfully admitted to the country or territory where they are providing their biometric information.

Note: Family members included in an application for permanent residence or an application for a temporary purpose, do not need to have been lawfully admitted to the country in which the application is submitted. Such a requirement would make it impossible for some families to submit visa applications anywhere.

Criteria outlined in subsections R11(1) and (2) concern the applicant. Both subsections require 'the applicant' to submit an application to 'the applicant's' country of nationality or the country where "the applicant" has been lawfully admitted (for at least one year in the case of a permanent residence application). The Regulations are silent regarding accompanying family members who are included in the application.

Paragraph R10(2)(a) requires that all family members be identified in an application, accompanying or not; however, R11 criteria have not included accompanying family

## **OP 1 Procedures**

members. The interpretation is that family members who are included on either a permanent residence application or an application for temporary residence need **not** have been lawfully admitted to the country in which the applicant has submitted an application.

### **Refugee class**

Section R150 requires that applications from foreign nationals submitted in the refugee class be made at the immigration office outside of Canada that serves the applicant's place of residence.

### **Determining an applicant's status**

#### **Residency status [R11(1)(a)]**

In many countries, an official document (residency permit, employment authorization, etc.) is issued as formal proof of residency or extended temporary status and length of such status. The status of persons holding such documents is therefore easily determined.

In countries where there are no credible official documents to formally prove residency, or none that can satisfy our regulatory requirements regarding the length of stay authorized, or where applicants are unable to obtain such documentation to establish their status for certain, various indicators of place and length of residence can be taken into consideration if they are substantiated by reliable documentation, such as:

- visas, entry and exit stamps, and entries found in the applicant's passport;
- place of family residence and continuous presence there of applicant's family members;
- personal papers such as a local driver's licence, identification cards, or local bank cards;
- employment contract;
- place of employment;
- civil government to which applicant pays taxes;
- place where applicant's economic and day-to-day activities are carried out.

In short, when determining residency in a particular country, offices should take into account any official document showing that the applicant has been granted legal status in that country for a period of one year or has been living there lawfully for at least one year.

However, there will be no obligation to request any kind of official documentary evidence when it becomes obvious, upon initially examining the application, that the applicant does in fact legally reside in the country where the application is made and that the country in question is served by the office to which the application is submitted.

### **Change of status during processing of application**

## **OP 1 Procedures**

For applicants whose legal status in the country where they made their application changes or expires before the processing of their application has been completed, processing will be completed in the office where it was submitted.

However, if an office concludes that it cannot continue to process the application without jeopardizing the integrity of the program, that office must notify the applicant that it cannot process the application and that the application will be transferred to the office that handles the new country of residence or nationality.

If it is determined, upon review, that the applicant does not meet the requirements of paragraph R11(1)(a) or (b), the applicant is to be notified and told why the application cannot be processed at that office. Also, pursuant to section R12, the application form, any documents submitted and the processing fee must be returned to the applicant. No part or record of the application should be kept.

When an application is returned to the applicant because it cannot be processed pursuant to section R11, the applicant is to be advised to which office the application should be submitted.

### **Special procedure for the family class**

The application for permanent residence for members of this class no longer gives applicants a choice as to which office will process their applications. CPC-Mississauga, which receives the applications, will make this determination pursuant to section R11 and will forward the file to the appropriate visa office. The option of selecting the office where the sponsor wanted the application to be processed has been deleted from the "application for sponsorship and undertaking."

## **5.18 Acknowledgment of receipt (AOR) of the application**

Visa offices will respond to applications for permanent residence within four weeks from the date of receipt of the application. The response will include the following:

- the applicant's file number;
- the approximate time within which the application should be completed based on the category of application; and
- other information concerning additional or missing documentation, medical examination instructions or the possible requirement of an interview.

A sample of the AOR letter is included as Appendix E.

## **5.19 File transfer**

Notwithstanding the reasons that may warrant creating a file before visa offices have determined if an applicant meets the requirements of section R11, it is strongly

## OP 1 Procedures

recommended that visa offices avoid creating a file on an application until it has been determined their visa office is responsible for processing that person's application.

When some doubt exists regarding the applicant's residency status and/or the office's jurisdiction, and available information is open to interpretation, a local procedure should be implemented to determine if the requirements under R11 have been met. Some visa offices may choose to automatically refer such a file to a visa officer for review and decision, while some offices may entrust this responsibility to a designated individual who has the necessary expertise to make such an assessment.

If a serious doubt exists about an applicant's country of residence or the office responsible for processing the application, and in order to avoid a situation where the recommended office could also refuse to process the application due to lack of jurisdiction, the applicant should be asked to make the determination based on information available on the IRCC website, the address of which is to be provided. If it appears that the applicant has no access to the Internet, the applicant should be given the necessary information, including a list of offices showing the countries for which the office is responsible, to assist the applicant in determining where to send the application.

Visa offices are not required to transfer applications for permanent or temporary residence to Canada upon the request of applicants or their designated representatives. Visa offices should transfer files only if that transfer would enhance program integrity. Conversely, visa offices should refuse to transfer files if such a transfer diminishes program integrity. Officers should consider consulting potential receiving visa offices to seek assistance in finalizing cases before transferring a file.

The onus is on the applicant to demonstrate that the transfer of their file would not compromise the integrity of the application evaluation process.

Program integrity includes issues such as ability to effectively evaluate documents: knowledge of local security and criminality environments; or familiarity with business practices and procedures. Other factors may be taken into account when evaluating the impact of a file transfer on the program integrity of visa programs.

As part of program integrity considerations, officers should also be mindful that the intent of section R11 is to ensure that, as much as possible, visa applications are reviewed by the offices with the local knowledge and expertise necessary to conduct an effective case review.

While the Regulations define where an application must be submitted, they do not stipulate where an application must be processed. At times, visa offices may independently decide that issues of program integrity merit the transfer of an application to another visa office. In these cases, the visa office should immediately inform the applicant of the file transfer. When transferring files, visa offices should be mindful of the resource implications for the receiving visa office and, therefore, should notify the receiving visa office of upcoming

## OP 1 Procedures

transfers, particularly multiple transfers. When transferring a file to another office, officers should ensure that the reasons for the transfer are clearly documented.

On those occasions when a case is facilitated through early admission, the file will be transferred to CPC-Vegreville for finalization once all statutory requirements have been completed abroad. For more information about early admission and the issuance of a temporary resident permit, see chapter OP 20, section 5.15.

For assessment purposes, visa offices receiving a transferred file must respect the original date on which the application was received as the "lock-in" date. For processing purposes, all processing steps for the files transferred to an office, including the scheduling of interviews, should be the same as for all other applications received in the office on the date corresponding to the "lock-in" date of the received file. This means that an application that is received in Paris in July 2002 and transferred to New Delhi in March 2003, would enter the New Delhi queue as of July 2002.

See also section 5.24, *Lock-in date*.

There are no fees applicable to file transfer requests.

## 5.20 Procedure [R11(1)]: permanent residence applications

### New applications

For R11(1) cases and for program integrity reasons, permanent residence applications from persons ineligible under subsection R11(1) should not be accepted by the receiving visa office. As per section R12, if the receiving visa office is not legally responsible for processing an application under subsection R11(1), **the entire application should be returned to the applicant** (including application forms, processing fees and supporting documents). No case processing should occur; the applicant should be told why the application is being returned and advised of the name of the visa office through which they may apply.

### Applications in process

In rare instances, an applicant's ineligibility under subsection R11(1) may not be discovered until after the visa office has begun processing the application. In these cases, once the ineligibility comes to light, the visa office should note the subsection R11(1) ineligibility in CAIPS notes, inform the applicant they are ineligible for processing at that office and advise the applicant that their application is being transferred to the responsible visa office. If the application is a family class case, the officer should inform CPC-Mississauga that the application is ineligible to be processed at the office where it was initially received. CPC-Mississauga should be advised of the visa office to which the file is being transferred. The officer should then transfer the application to the responsible visa office.

## **5.21 Procedure [R11(2)]: temporary resident visas, study permits and work permits**

The majority of applicants apply where they reside or where they are staying temporarily. However, a number of applications continue to be made to a country where the applicant is neither physically present nor has the right of admission. Program integrity issues arise when applications are not made to a visa office where the local knowledge and language expertise are available. Officers should be mindful that the intent of section R11 is to ensure that, as much as possible, applications are reviewed by the visa officers in offices with the local knowledge and expertise necessary to conduct an effective case review.

Applicants are required to submit their application for a temporary resident visa, study permit or work permit to the visa office that is responsible for serving

- a. the country in which the applicant has been lawfully admitted; or
- b. the applicant's country of nationality or, if the applicant is stateless, their country of habitual residence.

Application provisions for a temporary resident visa continue to be very flexible. For example, the businessperson from India spending a day or two in the U.K. will be able to apply for a temporary resident visa in London, as long as they were lawfully admitted to the U.K. Similarly, a Chinese student currently studying in Singapore will be able to apply for a study permit at IRCC's visa office in Singapore. However, a Brazilian national who is physically in Brazil will not be able to make a temporary work permit application by mail to the IRCC office in New York City.

### **New applications**

If, upon receipt, an application is determined to be ineligible for processing under subsection R11(2), the receiving visa office should, as per section R12, return the complete application and the accompanying processing fee to the applicant. The applicant should be advised they are not eligible to submit a non-immigrant application to that visa office under subsection R11(2), and should be given the name of the responsible office through which they may apply.

### **Applications in process**

In some instances, the R11(2) ineligibility of a non-immigrant application may not be determined until after case processing is underway. In these cases, the processing officer should note the R11(2) ineligibility in CAIPS notes, and processing should continue to completion on the basis of the available information. However, on a case-by-case basis, a file transfer to the responsible visa office may be necessary to ensure program integrity.

## **5.22 Permanent resident travel document (PRTD)**



## OP 1 Procedures

Persons who require a travel document to return to Canada pursuant to subsection A31(3) must apply to the specific office, as indicated in Appendix A, to ensure that a Canada-based IRCC officer is available for the processing of the application. More information about the travel document is available in chapter OP 10, *Permanent Residency Status Determination*.

### 5.23 Applications from illegal residents

Section R11 stipulates that applicants cannot submit applications for temporary or permanent resident visas outside their country of nationality—or, if stateless, outside their country of habitual residence—to a visa office in a country where they have not been lawfully admitted. Therefore, visa offices should return applications, plus any accompanying documents or fees, if they determine that an applicant was not legally admitted to the country where the submission was made. Applicants should be counselled to apply for a visa to a visa office where they have been lawfully admitted for at least one year.

### 5.24 Lock-in date

The lock-in date is a reference point used to freeze certain factors for the purpose of processing applications. Neither the Act nor the Regulations define it. It does not overcome any requirements of the Act and Regulations that applicants must satisfy when an officer admits them.

Regulations in effect on the lock-in date usually apply to applications submitted that day. Changes in regulations after the lock-in date do not usually apply to applications submitted on or before the lock-in date.

See also section 5.19, *File Transfer*.

Note: The Act allows the Governor in Council to apply changes in regulations to applications already submitted. When the Governor in Council does this, it states which applications are affected by the changes.

Family class: Lock-in (of age) is based on the day that the responsible Case Processing Centre (CPC) receives a sponsorship application. The documents downloaded to CAIPS from the CPC will specify the lock-in date.

Refugee and economic class: Lock-in (of age) occurs when a visa office has accepted a submission as an application. If a visa office determines that, after date stamping a submission as received, the submission does not meet the definition of an application, the submission should be returned to the appropriate party and the lock-in set only after the submission has been returned to the visa office with the missing information or documentation.

## OP 1 Procedures

If age is a factor that makes an applicant admissible, officers should use the applicant's age on the lock-in date. As long as they are the right age on the lock-in date, they can surpass it before admission.

For Quebec-selected economic files, the lock-in date for dependency is the date the application for a Quebec Selection Certificate (CSQ) was received by Quebec. There are some offices which already receive, as part of the application for permanent residence, a copy of Quebec Form 6. This form specifies the date on which the application for a CSQ was received by the *Service d'immigration du Québec*. In other cases, offices may receive only the CSQ. In the absence of proof of when the file was received by Quebec, offices should use the CSQ issuance date as the lock-in date. The fees payable for the dependent child should also be determined based on the age of the child as of the lock-in date. For example, if the child was 21 years old when the application for a CSQ was submitted, but is 23 years old when the application is received by the embassy, the fee would be Can\$150 (i.e., the fee for a family member of the principal applicant who is less than 22 years of age and not a spouse or common-law partner). Where there is a dispute as to the correct fee payable or dependency, the *Service d'immigration du Québec* office should be contacted to provide the Quebec application date.

Note: For Quebec-selected entrepreneur cases, the lock-in date for conditions is the CSQ issuance date. The *Ministère de l'Immigration, de la Diversité et de l'Inclusion* has indicated that all the entrepreneurs selected by the department as of June 28, 2002, have signed Annexe 6 (D05 Admission conditionnelle.doc): Déclaration d'un entrepreneur admis sous condition, acknowledging the conditions described in R98. The signed document is kept in the entrepreneurs' files at the Quebec immigration office.

Successful Immigration Appeal Division (IAD) appeals and judicial reviews: Cases which are re-opened following a successful IAD appeal or judicial review should maintain their original lock-in date, but the application date should be changed to reflect the date on which the file is re-opened. This will ensure that accurate processing times are reported.

Note: Processing times are defined as the period required to bring a case to a final decision. A successful IAD appeal sets aside the original decision, thus initializing a new and separate processing time for a (new) final decision. Missions must flag these cases to ensure they are processed promptly to conclusion and not queued according to application date.

See also section 7.3, *What is an application?*.

### 5.25. Fee refunds when applicant changes economic category

When a provincial nominee certificate is received for a case in another economic class, the following procedure is to be followed:

#### **Cases for which processing has not begun (i.e., that have not passed the initial evaluation stage)**

## OP 1 Procedures

After receiving the certificate, the mission must inform the applicant that a certificate was received and that a new, complete application in the provincial nominee class (including annex 4) should be submitted. The applicant has the choice to withdraw the initial application or to continue with both applications. If the applicant decides to withdraw the initial application, the fees paid can be assigned to the PV2 application rather than refunded. The action that is being taken and the basis for it must be clearly indicated in the CAIPS notes.

### **Cases for which processing has begun (i.e., that have passed the initial evaluation stage)**

Once a case has passed the initial evaluation stage, the processing fees cannot be refunded. If a provincial nominee certificate is submitted and the applicant wants to be considered in the provincial nominee class, the applicant has a first option to withdraw his federal application and submit a new application in the provincial nominee class; processing fees for the federal application are not refunded, and new processing fees are required for the application in the provincial nominee class. The second option is to allow processing of the federal application to continue and to submit a new application in the provincial nominee class, along with the new fees. The applicant does not necessarily have to withdraw the initial application, because the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* (IRPA) does not prohibit multiple applications. Prior to finalizing either application, the visa office will advise the applicant that the second application has to be withdrawn for the visa to be issued; visas must not be issued for one file while the second remains open and in process.

Since cases under the Provincial Nominee Program (PNP) are not in selection inventory queuing, having these applicants withdraw and reapply should not disadvantage them as to their places in the processing queue and their processing times.

General principles concerning fee refunds:

- A reallocation of funds is permissible only when the file has not passed the initial evaluation stage and the request to transfer the fee to the new file is accompanied by the new file—there can be no waiting period/holding of fees for future considerations.
- There is no refund or reallocation of fees once a file has passed the initial evaluation stage.

For more information on fee refunds and the initial evaluation stage, see Financial Policy Manual 4, section 4.2.

## **5.26 Language of application forms and form letters**

Only application forms in English and French are official application forms. Forms printed in any other languages are unofficial and serve only as models to help applicants complete the official forms in English or French.

## OP 1 Procedures

Refusal letters for all types of applications must be in English or French. Unofficial translations of refusal letters may accompany the official language letter. Such translations must state clearly that they may not be used for any official or legal purpose.

Other form letters may be in languages other than English or French.

### 5.27 Security screening of foreign nationals

Sections 15 and 16 of the *Access to Information Act* may exempt parts of the security screening process from public access. Officers should read these guidelines in conjunction with chapter IC 1, *Security and Criminal Screening of Immigrants*.

Security screening procedures identify persons seeking admission who are, or have been, involved in espionage, subversion or terrorism, organized crime, war crimes and crimes against humanity. Note that a security screening clearance does not mean applicants do not have a criminal record.

Officers are responsible for ensuring that persons who may threaten the safety and good order of Canadian society are denied entry. Officers also help promote international order and justice by denying use of our territory by such individuals. These goals are important, and strict compliance with security screening procedures is required to achieve them.

Responsibility for responding to queries about delays in immigration processing rests with the Department. Applicants or their representatives should not be referred to other federal departments or agencies that assist in the security or criminality screening of applications.

Officers may refer to the background inquiries carried out by the Department, but specific details of the process may be exempt from public access. No reference should be made to them explicitly.

Refusal letters should simply quote the part of the Act used to refuse the application. Officers need not explain the security screening process.

See chapter OP 2 for information about using non-releasable information to refuse family class applications for security reasons.

### 5.28 Extending the validity of visas

The validity of a permanent resident visa may not be extended. Nor can replacement visas be issued with a new validity date. If foreign nationals do not use their visas, they must make a new application for a permanent residence visa.

They must also pay a new application processing fee. If they have paid a right of permanent resident fee (RPRF), they do not need to pay it again. The RPRF may be collected only once.

## **OP 1 Procedures**

Sometimes, due to factors beyond their control, applicants receive visas that are valid for less than two months. If they cannot travel before their visas expire, officers should update whichever requirement (e.g., medical) was used to set the visa validity. When a new validity date has been obtained, a new visa will be issued.

### **5.29 Working with lawyers and consultants**

Guidelines on working with immigration representatives have now been consolidated in chapter IP 9, *Use of representatives*.

In accordance with the regulatory amendments and previous instructions to the field, IRCC has not been dealing with unauthorized representatives in the context of applications submitted after April 13, 2004.

### **5.30 Responding to case status inquiries**

Once the visa office is satisfied that an immigration representative has been designated by the applicant the office may respond to straightforward case status inquiries verbally or in writing. If there is any doubt as to a representative's identity, information should not be given over the telephone.

Any complex or in-depth inquiry or discussion related to an individual case should be accepted and responded to in writing only.

Any case-specific interchange of information should have a written record, including an annotation in the CAIPS/FOSS case notes to ensure that no misunderstandings occur.

### **5.31 Counselling applicants during interview**

Once applicants have designated a representative, visa offices should not appear to suggest to or solicit applicants to change or abandon their representative by asking them to sign another designation.

Officers should refrain from soliciting information from applicants concerning the fees paid to representatives, or how and why the applicant has retained a representative.

The following situations have been cited as regular occurrences:

- during verification of mailing addresses at an interview, the applicant provides an address different from the one indicated on the IMM 0008E form;
- applicants verbally indicate that they are no longer represented;
- applicants verbally indicate the designation of a new representative;
- applicants verbally indicate that IRCC should no longer communicate with the designated representative; or applicants verbally indicate that they are still

## **OP 1 Procedures**

represented but request that the visa or other documents be sent directly to them rather than the representative.

If an applicant is represented, it is assumed that the representation remains valid and should not be the subject of any counselling between the visa office and an applicant unless the applicant revokes the representation in writing. If an applicant advises that the previous designated counsel no longer represents them, the proper course is to have the applicant complete the form to revoke the previous representative and designate a new one.

See Appendix C for revocation of authorization and direction, prepared by Legal Services.

### **5.32 Non-specific inquiries**

Managers and officers should not allow themselves to be drawn into a “hypothetical” discussion, which could involve the facts of an actual case.

Similarly, no commitment should be made on how a case will be treated until a formal application has been made.

### **5.33 Private versus group information sessions**

Many representatives request private sessions in order to obtain information on “local office procedures”. This diverts scarce resources from case processing.

When major changes occur which affect processing, managers are encouraged to hold general group information sessions for local applicant representative organizations to inform them accordingly. It is recognized that some offices have little contact with lawyers and consultants, and group information sessions would therefore not be appropriate.

Applicant representatives may join professional organizations which provide updates on changes as well as information and training services to their members.

### **5.34 Presence of counsel**

On January 30, 2004, the Federal Court of Appeal found in *Ha v. MCI* that the failure of a visa office to allow an overseas refugee applicant to have counsel present during the selection interview was a breach of procedural fairness in the particular circumstances of the case. Details of requests to have counsel present should be forwarded to the International Region’s Operational Coordination Unit (RIM), which will consult with legal services and provide advice.

### **5.35 Interpreters or translators**

Some offices have had representatives or their employees present themselves as interpreters. This is not an acceptable practice and may place the representative in a

## OP 1 Procedures

conflict of interest situation since the representative cannot claim to be unbiased. Officers should therefore decline all such offers/requests.

Managers are encouraged to develop lists of interpreters by determining which local agencies meet requirements of honesty and competence, and direct applicants to them.

Instructions on hiring and using interpreters in Canada are contained in the SA 7 chapter and may be a useful reference regarding this matter. Locally engaged staff may, however, continue to work as interpreters with consent being sought from the applicant and noted in CAIPS.

### 5.36 Taping interviews

This content has been moved as part of the Department's efforts to modernize operational guidance to staff. It can now be found in the *Handling sensitive cases* section.

### 5.37 Representatives in CAIPS/FOSS/GCMS

Offices should capture the names of representatives (including lawyers and consultants) in the GCMS/CAIPS representative field and compile consistent lists.

### 5.38 Invitations to attend functions

Program managers and other staff who may be approached by applicant representatives and/or their organizations with requests to attend functions should be guided by Chapter 2, *Conflict of Interest Measures*, found in the Values and Ethics Code for the Public Service at [www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pubs\\_pol/hrpubs/TB\\_851/vec-cve1\\_e.asp](http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pubs_pol/hrpubs/TB_851/vec-cve1_e.asp).

### 5.39 Response to inquiries and representations: clients and representatives

The responsibility for responding to inquiries or representations is varied dependent on the issue. Listed below are the various categories of inquiries/representations and to whom the issue should be directed.

#### **A) Individual case inquiries related to the decision or decision-making process**

- Visa office email address.
- If there is no reply after 30 days or if there is a disagreement with the reply, you may contact Case Management Branch and copy the responsible Director for the geographic region by email (preferred) or by fax.

#### **B) Local office procedures**

## **OP 1 Procedures**

- Immigration Program Manager via the visa office email address.
- If no reply after 30 days, you may contact the Director for the geographic region by email (preferred) or by fax.

### **C) Quality of service complaints, or situations involving possible professional misconduct or malfeasance:**

- Immigration Program Manager by email.
- If no reply after 30 days, Director for the geographic region by fax.

### **D) Complaints from applicants related to biometric information collection and processing**

For complaints from applicants alleging that their biographical data was matched against the fingerprints of a different applicant (mistaken identity) or alleging that their fingerprints were erroneously matched against an existing record in the RCMP's databank (false positives):

- Immigration Program Manager via the visa office email address.
- If no reply after 30 days, you may contact the Director for the geographic region by email (preferred) or by fax.

For complaints about quality of service related to biometric collection, see point C) above. For complaints about the biometric requirement or general biometric procedures, see point E) below.

### **E) General procedures, procedural consistency between offices, operational policy, global processing times and levels**

- Director, Operational Coordination, International Region Branch. If a reply from an International Region Director under B, C, or D has not been received after 30 days, a follow up may be initiated to the Senior Director, Geographic Operations, by fax.

### **F) Immigration (selection) policy**

Write to the appropriate Director within Immigration Branch.

- Family class: Director, Social Policy and Programs.
- Skilled workers, provincial nominees, students, temporary workers: Director, Economic Policy and Programs.
- Business class: Director, Business Immigration.
- Ministerial Instructions, Levels: Director, Horizontal Immigration Policy.

At this time, all visa offices are equipped to receive enquiries via email, including case-specific enquiries. While many visa offices may now systematically also respond to email enquiries by email, other visa offices are still working to put email management systems in



## OP 1 Procedures

place and are monitoring the reliability of email delivery in their countries of responsibility. The protocol encourages visa offices to respond to emails via email, but allows immigration program managers the flexibility to use operationally feasible and efficient channels for response (whether this is email, fax, letter or other means) depending on the local environment and conditions.

The protocol requires that visa offices

- receive client enquiries via email;
- respond to emails (acceptable responses include automated replies, standard responses, and case-specific replies via email or other channels);
- have a dedicated email enquiries mailbox and address; and
- handle email enquiries in the same way as other enquiries are handled (e.g., have written consent to release personal information to third parties, ensure client is adequately "identified" before any personal information is released).

Email enquirers must therefore state

- the enquirer's full name;
- who they are (i.e., the applicant, the applicant's designated individual, the applicant's authorized representative, Canadian MP); and
- their email address.

They must also provide, as a minimum, the applicant's

- given name;
- family name;
- date of birth; and
- visa office file number.

Visa offices must also

- establish, publish and adhere to response times for email enquiries;
- have the client's consent to communicate via email;
- respond to the client in the official language of the client's choice;
- provide cautions to clients using email to protect their personal identifiers and to be aware that email is not a secure channel;
- protect the privacy of IRCC staff;
- provide IRCC identifiers in email replies: office name and address;
- provide clear instructions to clients on what address to use and what information to include with an enquiry.

Enquiries and representation should be sent to these mailboxes, not to the mailboxes of individual officers or program managers. Enquiries or representation should be sent either by email or by fax/mail, not both.

## 6 Authorization to return to Canada

### 6.1 Overarching principles for processing authorization to return to Canada (ARC) applications

Section 52 of the IRPA is intended to send a strong message to individuals to comply with enforceable departure orders. A permanent bar on returning to Canada is a serious consequence of non-compliance. Consequently, an ARC should not be used as a routine way to overcome this bar, but rather in cases where an officer considers the issuance to be justifiable based on the facts of the case.

Individuals applying for an ARC must demonstrate that there are compelling reasons to consider an Authorization to Return to Canada when weighed against the circumstances that necessitated the issuance of a removal order. Applicants must also demonstrate that they pose a minimal risk to Canadians and to Canadian society. Merely meeting eligibility requirements for the issuance of a visa is not sufficient to grant an ARC. The decision to grant an ARC should be consistent with the objectives of the legislation as defined in paragraph 3(1)(h) of the IRPA.

#### Effect of pardons on the requirement for an ARC

If the applicant has received a pardon, the effect of this pardon on the requirement for an ARC should be analyzed **before** assessing the ARC. The following rules apply when dealing with pardons:

- Enforced removal order based solely on convictions for which a pardon has been granted

An ARC is required. A pardon removes the underlying criminal inadmissibility with respect to a particular conviction. However, as the removal order has been enforced, the foreign national requires an ARC based on section A52.

- Unenforced removal order (individual failed to confirm departure or never left Canada) based **solely** on convictions for which a pardon has been granted

An ARC is not required. A pardon removes the underlying criminal inadmissibility with respect to a particular conviction. This renders a removal order based **solely** on that conviction ineffective. As the removal order has not been enforced, and can no longer be enforced, the foreign national does not require an ARC.

- Unenforced removal order based on convictions for which a pardon has been granted and on other grounds of inadmissibility

A pardon removes the underlying criminal inadmissibility with respect to a particular conviction. However, the removal order is based on additional grounds to the

## OP 1 Procedures

pardoned conviction. As the removal order remains effective, it should be enforced. Once the removal order is enforced, an ARC is required.

- Person who lost permanent resident status pursuant to paragraph A46(1)(c) who later receives a pardon for the conviction on which the removal order was based

Such a person is now a foreign national. A pardon removes the underlying criminal inadmissibility with respect to a particular conviction. The pardon does not reverse the loss of permanent resident status. The requirement for an ARC depends on whether the removal order was enforced, as explained in the examples above.

## 6.2 Factors to consider when assessing an ARC application

- The severity of the IRPA violation that led to the removal.
- The applicant's history of cooperation with IRCC:
  - Are there any previous immigration warrants?
  - Did the applicant fail to appear for any hearing or removal?
  - Did the applicant comply with the terms and conditions of the document issued by IRCC?
  - Did the applicant pay for the removal costs?
  - Was the applicant removed under escort?

In most cases, a proper assessment of these factors will require contacting the office responsible for the removal. If there is evidence of criminal activity, officers may consult with Canadian law enforcement authorities and ask for a local police certificate.

- The reasons for the applicant's request to return to Canada:
  - Do compelling or exceptional circumstances exist?
  - Are there alternative options available to the applicant that would not necessitate returning to Canada?
  - Are there factors that make the applicant's presence in Canada compelling (e.g., family ties, job qualifications, economic contribution, temporary attendance at an event)?
  - Are there children directly implicated in the application whose best interests should be considered?
  - Can the applicant support him or herself financially?
  - How much time has passed since the infraction that led to the removal order?
  - How long does the applicant intend to stay in Canada?
  - Are there tangible or intangible benefits that may accrue to Canada or the person concerned?

*Bona fide* marriages, attendance at the funeral of a family member or acceptance under a provincial nominee program are examples of factors that would normally constitute a "compelling reason" for returning to Canada. However, no one factor alone should automatically serve to override concerns related to the safety of Canadians and the security of Canadian society.

## OP 1 Procedures

### Other considerations

When assessing an A34/35/37 application, IRCC must consult the National Security Division of the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA).

If an applicant is inadmissible on grounds other than those cited in the previous removal order (i.e., medical, criminality, security), they must apply for and obtain a temporary resident permit (TRP). In cases of criminal inadmissibility, a rehabilitation decision (or the applicant is deemed to have been rehabilitated) or a pardon (a foreign pardon or one issued by the National Parole Board) will also be necessary. A TRP does not overcome the need for an ARC.

An ARC can be granted for return to Canada as a permanent resident, for a one-time visit, or for occasional entries for a specified purpose (e.g., medical treatments, work-related activities) over a prescribed period.

If an applicant requires an ARC but has not submitted fees, a letter should be sent explaining the requirement for an ARC. If no response is received within 90 days, the application may be refused under section A41 and subsection A52(1). Please refer to Appendix G for a sample letter.

If the Department defrayed the costs of multiple removals, the entire amount of removal-related expenses must be recovered. The cost recovery fee applies to each application.

Any individual who has a right of appeal before the IAD as per section A63 can appeal a negative ARC decision to the IAD.

### 6.3 The download of previously deported persons into CPIC

Data on previously deported persons (PDPs) is downloaded into the Canadian Police Information Centre (CPIC) database. CPIC is a computerized database that provides tactical information on crimes, criminals and public safety. It is the only national information-sharing system in the country that links criminal justice and law enforcement partners across Canada and internationally.

The primary objective for entering data on PDPs into CPIC is to enhance public safety and security by providing peace officers with the necessary information to form reasonable grounds to believe that the person may be arrested without a warrant under paragraph A55(2)(a). The CPIC-PDP database will equip peace officers across Canada with information that a foreign national has been deported from Canada and has returned to Canada without authorization under subsection A52(1), and that at the time of the person's removal, there were reasonable grounds to believe that the person was a danger to the public and/or was unlikely to appear.

In such cases, the deportee will be added to the FOSS-PDP database and a previous deportee (PREV.DEP) flag will be enabled in FOSS. When a name is queried in CPIC and it is

## OP 1 Procedures

a direct match to a person found in the PDP database, the information on CPIC will instruct law enforcement partners in Canada to contact the Immigration Warrant Response Centre (IWRC) for further assistance.

Information on individuals in the CPIC-PDP database originates from the FOSS-PDP database. See chapter ENF 11, section 17.1 for more information on who will be added to the FOSS-PDP database, and chapter ENF 11, section 17.2 for more information on who will be added to the CPIC-PDP database.

As part of the PDP initiative, visa offices outside Canada play a critical role in ensuring that the PDP information in FOSS and CPIC is accurate. In doing so, a PDP will be removed from FOSS and/or CPIC only after a positive decision on the ARC has been electronically completed in CAIPS.

For further background information on the requirements for PDPs to be added to the FOSS and/or CPIC database, see chapter ENF 11, section 13, *Verifying departure*.

### 6.4 Who requires an ARC? (under the authorization of the Minister of IRCC)

Under subsection A52(1), a foreign national must obtain a written ARC after the enforcement of any of the following removal orders:

- a deportation order (lifetime ban from returning to Canada) [R226];
- a departure order that becomes a deportation order (lifetime ban from returning to Canada) [R224(2)];
- an exclusion order:
  - one-year ban [R225(1)];
  - two-year ban [R225(3)].

Before an application for an ARC can be considered, the removal order must first be enforced. A removal order can be enforced by two methods: either at a POE, or at a visa office outside Canada pursuant to subsection R240(1) or R240(2). A removal order is enforced under these provisions only after an officer has issued a Certificate of Departure [IMM 0056B]. For further information on enforcing a removal order at a visa office outside Canada, see chapter ENF 11, section 13.5.

Note: Persons who have been issued any removal order on the basis that they are an accompanying family member under paragraph A42(b) do not require an ARC.

### 6.5 Issuing an ARC [IMM 1203B]

An ARC is issued to overcome a removal order. At visa offices outside Canada, only an Immigration Program Manager, Deputy Program Manager, or Operations Manager has the designated authority (see item 39 of chapter IL 3) to make the decision to grant or deny an

## OP 1 Procedures

ARC. Only they can sign an IMM 1203B form and enter the decision on the ARC screen in CAIPS. Making recommendations that are considered in a decision by a more senior officer are not to be construed as the exercise of a delegated authority. The decision-maker may receive and consider reports of others as long as it does not abdicate its responsibility to make a decision.

There are two mandatory steps in issuing an ARC:

- complete the *ARC* screen on CAIPS; and
- complete IMM 1203B (granted) or IMM 1202B (denied) form, both of which are available on Connexion.

The rationale for the decision to grant or deny must be fully explained in the *Remarks* field of the ARC document.

For further information on completing the ARC screen, refer to the *CAIPS User Guide*.

In addition to an ARC, applicants from visa-required countries require a TRV, while applicants from visa-exempt countries require an electronic travel authorization (eTA). For permanent residence applicants, officers should note in the *Remarks* block of the Confirmation of Permanent Residence [IMM 5292B] that authorization to return to Canada was granted [A52(1)]. All applicable cost recovery fees must be paid prior the issuance of an ARC.

Officers should

- make two copies of the original IMM 1203B form;
- give the original to the applicant;
- send one copy to the office that removed the applicant from Canada;
- send the second copy to the Microfilm Unit, Records Section, Information Management and Technologies Branch (BIM), IRCC, NHQ;
- inform applicants that they must present the IMM 1203B form at a port of entry.

If applicants arrive at the port of entry without an IMM 1203B form, they may be reported under subsection A44(1) for non-compliance for not having obtained the authorization to return to Canada as required under subsection A52(1).

Note: The ARC document should be used to grant the authorization to return to Canada whenever written authority is required (including exclusion orders). Its use is not limited to previous deportees where the PREV.DEF flag is enabled.

## 6.6 Effect of ARC decisions on the PDP database

Where there is a PREV.DEF flag enabled in FOSS, the effect of the ARC will be as follows:

## OP 1 Procedures

- a decision to grant an ARC will disable the PREV.DEF flag in FOSS, remove the person from the Primary Inspection Line (PIL) "Hit List" at the port of entry and automatically remove the record from CPIC; or
- a decision to deny an ARC will maintain the PREV.DEF flag in FOSS, cause the client to remain on the PIL "Hit List" and maintain the record in CPIC.

The PREV.DEF flag in FOSS will be automatically disabled and the PDP information will be electronically removed from the CPIC-PDP database only after the program manager or delegated authority has granted an ARC under subsection A52(1), issued an IMM 1203B form for a deportation order or a departure order that has become a deportation order, and has completed the ARC screen in CAIPS.

Failure to remove the PREV.DEF flag from the CPIC-PDP database may result in the wrongful arrest under paragraph A55(2)(a) of a previous deportee who has been granted an ARC and has been issued a valid visa or permit. It is essential, therefore, that an ARC document be completed where previous deportees are granted authorization to return to Canada before issuing a visa or permit.

### 6.7. Amending an ARC decision

In exceptional circumstances, there may be occasions where an ARC is issued and new information later reveals that the document should not have been issued. Officers should take note that once the *Decision* field has been filled and the document finalized, the ARC cannot be re-opened and amended because a positive decision will have electronically removed the person's record from CPIC-PDP. It is therefore imperative for officers to be sure of their decision before completing the screen. The document can be edited until the *Decision* field has been filled. Should unanticipated circumstances occur requiring the decision to be changed after the ARC has been finalized, the following protocol must be followed.

#### **To reverse a positive decision**

- send an email to IWRC with a short explanation and a request to re-enable the PREV.DEF flag;
- create a new ARC, choosing Value 3 (negative decision);
- copy and paste the email sent to IWRC into the *Remarks* field of the new ARC file.

#### **To reverse a negative decision**

- create a new ARC, choosing values 1 or 2 (positive decision);
- explain the reason for the reversal in the *Remarks* field;
- no need to advise IWRC.

If the *Decision* field shows "Application abandoned/withdrawn," a new ARC must be created. There is no need to advise the IWRC.

## 6.8. Repayment of removal expenses incurred by the CBSA

Under the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Regulations*, the applicability of the fee to reimburse removal expenses has been widened to include persons who were removed at public expense, not just those who were deported. Removals are defined in section R229 to include departure orders, exclusion orders and deportation orders. These fees apply only in situations where the relevant costs have not been recovered from a transportation company.

As set out in paragraphs R243(a) and (b), a person must repay costs incurred for removal to

- the U.S., or St.-Pierre-et-Miquelon, in the amount of Can\$750;
- any other destination, in the amount of Can\$1,500.

Information on whether a person is required to repay the prescribed removal costs can be found in the Certificate of Departure screen through the CAIPS/FOSS interface, or the officer may contact the local CBSA Immigration Enforcement Centre. Collection of this fee will occur prior to the ARC being granted. Visa officers are required to mention in the CAIPS notes that payment was received.

Note: If the person is required to repay removal costs, there are no cost recovery exemptions. (This information should be available on the *Certificate of Departure* document viewable on CAIPS.)

If an individual advises that they are unable or unwilling to pay the fee immediately, they should be counselled to withdraw their application for an ARC. If the individual refuses to withdraw their application, the request for an ARC under subsection A52(1) should be denied. A written IMM 1202B form (Denial of Authorization to Return to Canada) should be issued, and the ARC screen on CAIPS completed with the decision disposition as "denied".

## 7 Definitions

### 7.1 Who is a foreign national?

A foreign national is defined in subsection A2(1) as a person who is not a Canadian citizen or a permanent resident.

### 7.2 Who is a permanent resident?

A permanent resident is defined in subsection A2(1) as someone who has acquired permanent resident status and who has not subsequently lost that status under section A46.

### 7.3 What is an application?



## **OP 1 Procedures**

Section R10 dictates the form and content that a submission must take to be considered an application. Section R12 states that if the requirements of sections R10 and R11 are not met, the application and all documents submitted in support of the application shall be returned to the applicant. If a submission fails to meet these minimum requirements, then it should be returned to the applicant in its entirety with an explanation of why it cannot be accepted and a request for the missing information or documents. When returning a submission, visa offices should ensure that applicants who have pre-paid their fees have the option of requesting a refund should they decide not to provide missing documentation or information in a re-submission.

Biometric information is not considered part of a complete application as defined in section R10. Therefore, an application from a biometric-required applicant should not be returned to the applicant if biometric information is not submitted with the application.

As far as applicants' language proficiency is concerned, when a submission in the skilled workers, investor, entrepreneur or self-employed categories is received, the role of the front-screening locally engaged staff should be limited to making sure that either the language test results or another separate written explanation of the applicant's language proficiency is enclosed with the submission. If neither is included, then the whole submission shall be returned to the applicant. If an applicant clearly indicates on their IMM 0008E form and Schedule 3 or Schedule 6 that they have no speaking, listening, reading or writing ability in French or English, then no additional evidence of language proficiency is required. In such cases, zero points may be safely assigned for language.

Subsection R102(1) sets the requirement to assess the language proficiency of any business applicant, even if the language criterion makes little difference in the selection decision for business class applicants, given that they require fewer points to qualify.

Visa offices should ensure that the decision on accepting a submission as an application is taken up front and before any processing has begun, to avoid the situation where fees are accepted at the post but a decision is taken later to return the submission due to incomplete information. As a reminder, once paper screening has taken place, processing fees are not refundable. Once an application has been accepted, it should not be returned to an applicant for re-submission. Any missing information should be requested during case processing.

For more information on language requirements for skilled workers, see chapter OP 6, sections 10.3 to 10.10.

### **7.4 Who can be the principal applicant?**

To be considered as an application, a submission for permanent residence in Canada must clearly indicate who is the principal applicant according to paragraph R10(1)(e). Either person in a marriage or common-law relationship may elect to be the principal applicant. Principal applicants cannot be alternated after the processing of an application begins. If applicants wish to have their spouse or common-law partners considered as the principal

## OP 1 Procedures

applicant, the original application should be closed and a new application, including new processing fees, should be submitted.

### 7.5 Who is an accompanying family member?

An accompanying family member is a family member of a principal applicant who receives a visa at the same time as the principal applicant to accompany or follow the principal applicant to Canada. An accompanying family member cannot seek permanent resident status before the principal applicant.

Family members of refugee applicants may, under certain circumstances, be processed and issued permanent resident visas up to a year after the principal applicant has been granted permanent resident status in Canada. See chapter OP 5, section 25, *One-year window of opportunity provision*.

With the following exceptions, section R23 and paragraph R70(1)(e) require foreign nationals and their family members, whether accompanying or not, to meet the requirements of the Act and Regulations, including admissibility requirements:

- spouses or common-law partners separated from and not living with applicants (the applicant must submit written evidence of the separation); and
- children of an applicant or an applicant's spouse or common-law partner who are in the legal custody or guardianship of a former spouse or common-law partner.

If a family member is not covered by an exemption and is legitimately unavailable for examination (e.g., the subject of a missing persons report filed with the police before the application for permanent residence was made), an applicant should sign a statement indicating they understand they may be permanently separated from the unexamined family member.

Applicants who intend to eventually sponsor a child who is in the custody of a former spouse or common-law partner should be advised to have the child medically examined. However, officers should not issue a visa to separated spouses or common-law partners, or to children in the custody of the other parent, even if they are examined.

The person who sought to exclude them from examination in the first place cannot later sponsor family members who are exempted from examination. These unexamined family members are not members of the family class [R117(9)(d)].

## 8 Procedural fairness

Procedural fairness is a broad concept and difficult to define comprehensively. It applies to all types of applications and all facets of processing.

The following are some of the principles of procedural fairness.

## OP 1 Procedures

<b>Principle</b>	<b>Explanation</b>
Communicating adequately and accurately with applicants	Officers should give applicants adequate notice regarding the process or the interview that will result or lead to a decision. Officers should accurately describe to applicants the documentation they are required to submit in order to address their concern.
Processing without undue delay	Officers must show diligence in processing applications. Visa offices must not appear to frustrate processing through unacceptable delays. A delay that cannot be justified is a denial of procedural fairness.
Whoever hears, must decide	<p>“Hear” in this context does not mean interview. It simply means the person with the legal authority to make a decision must do so. The Act, the Regulations and various delegation instruments are specific about who has authority to make decisions. When officers use their decision-making authority, they assess information. If an officer is the only person who looks at information or deals with applicants, it is clear that they “heard” (not necessarily in an interview) and decided. When an officer is not the only person dealing with applicants, who hears and decides may be less clear to them. They may present information to someone not authorized to make the decision. This person is an intermediary who must pass all relevant information from applicants to the officer. The intermediary cannot assess the information for an officer and arrive at a decision. The record of decision must show that the officer made it after assessing all pertinent information from the applicant. Often, officers rely on subjective assessments to make decisions. If a decision hinges on such assessments (e.g., abilities in English or French, or credibility), it must be clear to the applicant that the officer made the assessment. Officers should not appear to rely on someone else’s subjective assessment. The decision-maker must render the decision based on complete information. Therefore, all documents provided by the applicant must be forwarded to the decision-maker for consideration. A decision-maker should not indicate that they simply concur with the recommendations of an intermediary. They must indicate that they have weighed all salient factors of the application and have made their own decision on the merits of those factors.</p>
Applicants must have an opportunity to disabuse officers of any concerns	Applicants must be allowed to bring evidence and to make an argument. This includes being provided with adequate translation/interpretation. Officers must consider all the evidence and must record (in CAIPS) what they based their assessment on, and why they did not consider some of the evidence. Officers must meet this requirement in all cases, but to different degrees. The opportunity should be proportionate to

## OP 1 Procedures

	<p>the complexity of the application. With visitor visa applicants, officers should express their own concerns and record the applicant's response in the case notes. The applicant must be made aware of the "case to be met," i.e., the information known by the officer must be made available to the applicant prior to the decision being made. For example, if an officer relies on extrinsic evidence (i.e., evidence received from sources other than the applicant), they must give the applicant an opportunity to respond to such evidence. Permanent residence applicants and some visitors may need extra time to address any concerns. The record of the exchange must be more detailed in such cases. When the concern is medical in nature, officers must follow the procedures outlined in chapter OP 15. Officers must also follow specific instructions to assess the occupational experience of skilled workers (see chapter OP 6). Officers should give factual and objective reasons for their decision.</p>
<p>Decisions must be based on the <i>Immigration and Refugee Protection Act</i> and Regulations</p>	<p>The provision of the Act or Regulations must be cited in the record of a refusal. It is not acceptable to explain refusals with references to policies outlined in this, or any other manual. All communications, including refusal letters, should direct the reader's attention to the appropriate legislative provision.</p>
<p>Discretion must not be improperly fettered</p>	<p>If the Act or Regulations give officers complete authority to make a decision, they must clearly exercise that authority. Officers may, of course, take advice before making a decision. It should be plain to applicants, though, that officers have used their authority to decide freely. The record of decision should also indicate that, after weighing guidance among all relevant factors, officers came to their own conclusion. If officers tell applicants that a decision on their case is a result of advice from a superior, headquarters or procedures manuals, they restrain their discretion. They would be violating two other principles of procedural fairness, namely: (1) whoever hears must decide; and (2) applicants must have the opportunity to disabuse decision-makers of their concerns.</p>
<p>Applicants must receive fair and equitable treatment</p>	<p>Officers must be consistent in the treatment of applicants in similar situations.</p>

## 9 Procedure: *Access to Information Act* and *Privacy Act*

### 9.1 Responding to requests for information: *Privacy Act*

Under the *Privacy Act*, Canadian citizens, permanent residents and all other persons present in Canada have a right to access their personal information held by a government institution.

## OP 1 Procedures

The purpose of the *Privacy Act* is to protect the privacy of individuals with respect to personal information about themselves held by a government institution and to provide individuals with the right of access to such information.

Requests for personal information submitted pursuant to the *Privacy Act* should contain enough information for the officer to locate the requested information. This normally includes full name (including any aliases), date of birth, immigration/visa file number, and an eight-digit client ID number. The request should also indicate specifically the information being sought by the client, i.e., visa file, immigration file, medical file, citizenship file. Written consent to disclose information is required from the requestor and any persons (over 18 years of age) who may have information on the file.

Clients may authorize the release of personal information to a representative. The representative must be a Canadian citizen or a permanent resident. The authorization to disclose should be specific to IRCC, as an appointment of counsel form is not sufficient in most cases. If appropriate, officers should tell authorized representatives of clients without access rights pursuant to the *Privacy Act* to request information under the *Access to Information Act* instead (see section 9.5).

The *Privacy Act* does not prevent officers from contacting clients at the c/o addresses that they provide on their applications. The person or firm named in the address does not have to be a Canadian citizen, permanent resident or corporate entity in Canada.

Before releasing personal information, officers should confirm the identity of requesters and the authorization to release personal information to them. Personal information includes any information on or about a client of the Department that is recorded in any form. It also includes confirmation of the existence of a client's file or record.

Officers may disclose personal information by fax, email or by telephone, subject to security guidelines. Officers should ensure that they are disclosing information to the person authorized to receive personal information.

There are no fees pursuant to the *Privacy Act*.

## 9.2 Members of Parliament

Paragraph 8(2)(g) of the *Privacy Act* permits a government institution to disclose personal information "to a Member of Parliament for the purpose of assisting the individual to whom the information relates in resolving a problem", without the written consent of the individual. Visa offices are thus authorized to respond to Members of Parliament (MPs) about an individual's application.

MPs are sitting members of the House of Commons or the Senate. This discretionary privilege is not accorded to provincial members of legislative assemblies (MLAs), members of the National Assembly (MNAs in Quebec), and members of provincial parliaments (MPPs).

## OP 1 Procedures

MPs usually delegate constituency business to constituency assistants. These assistants request personal information on the behalf of MPs. Officers may release personal information to constituency assistants provided it is clear that MPs have designated them for this purpose. As with written requests, email requests for personal information on the letterhead of an MP or from the MP's email address, signed by constituency assistants, do not pose a problem. The written reply will simply be addressed to the MP. International Region has given the email addresses of overseas missions to MPs to enable them to make inquiries by email. The Ministerial Enquiries Division has confirmed that visa offices can reply to the Parliament Hill email address of the MPs or to their official constituency email address, but visa offices cannot send replies to the home/private email accounts of ministerial staff.

In order to ensure the proper protection of private information, requests from MPs should normally be handled in writing. However, officers may release personal information to constituency assistants over the telephone if MPs have designated them for this purpose. In these cases, to ensure proper identification and compliance with the *Privacy Act*, assistants should submit their requests for information in writing, by electronic message or by fax requesting that the officer call them. This will ensure that the officer can confirm the identity of the assistant when returning the call.

When MPs have resigned or passed away, the seats become vacant, awaiting by-elections. In these cases, their constituency offices are kept open and the party whip is responsible for services to constituents until a by-election has been held. Visa offices can answer requests from the constituency office since the whip (an MP) is responsible for the office's staff.

In the case of an independent MP or following a contested election, the Speaker of the House of Commons becomes responsible for services to constituents until a new Member is elected. Visa offices can answer requests in these cases as well.

When Parliament is dissolved, personal information about other individuals cannot be given to MPs during this time unless authorized by the Minister. The Minister will make a decision regarding the release of information when each Parliament approaches the end of its allotted time and dissolution appears imminent. Appropriate directions will be issued to officers as necessary.

While the *Privacy Act* allows departments to disclose personal information to MPs in order to help resolve a constituent's problem, MPs have no special access rights to other individuals' records.

Also note that visa offices and immigration program managers are not to enter into policy discussions, program design or broad operational topics in letters to MPs. Subjects such as anti-fraud practices, processing priorities or quality assurance should not be tackled in such a letter. It is the Minister who is accountable to Parliament for such matters. Consequently, visa offices should suggest to MPs asking questions related to policy or general procedures to submit them to the Minister's office. In brief, information given to MPs by visa offices should be related only to a specific application and nothing else.

### Timeframe for responses to parliamentarians

The standard for reply to MP enquiries is one week (or five working days). Where a substantive reply within one week is not possible, the MP's office should be advised within one week of receipt of the enquiry that a reply will be provided shortly and by a specific date. Such delays should be exceptional and short. Ideally, MPs should receive a response within two working days.

Program managers are responsible for either preparing replies to MPs personally, or closely and regularly monitoring replies sent by their office for both quality and timeliness. Note that visa offices **must** reply to **all** MP requests.

### 9.3 Exempt information

Only Public Rights Administration (BMX) at NHQ has the authority to exempt from release information requested under the *Privacy Act* or the *Access to Information Act*. The exempted information may make up the entire record or just a portion of it. If the visa office believes that certain information should not be released, it should provide BMX with a copy of the information along with an explanation as to why it should be exempt from release. Chapter 33 of the Privacy Manual and Chapter 9 of the Access to Information Manual contain guidelines for exempting information from release pursuant to the applicable sections of the *Privacy Act* and *Access to Information Act*.

### 9.4 Requests from IRCC and CPCs for visa office files

IRCC and CPCs have some responsibility for administering the *Privacy Act* and have the delegated authority to release information. Where exemptions must be made on certain documents, the documents in question will be sent to BMX for review. All requests submitted for personal information under the *Privacy Act* must be responded to within 30 days, even if the file is at a visa office. When a visa office receives a request for a file, officers will copy the entire file, including the file jacket, and send it to IRCC or the CPC that requested the file by the next unclassified bag. If the next bag is not scheduled to depart within a week of receipt of the request, the records will be forwarded by commercial courier.

### 9.5 Responding to requests for information: *Access to Information Act*

Under the *Access to Information Act*, Canadian citizens, permanent residents and all other individuals or corporations present in Canada have a right of access to any information held by a government institution, regardless of its source, subject to exclusions and exemptions as described in the Act. Whereas the *Privacy Act* is limited to providing access to personal information only, requests under the *Access to Information Act* may be about anything, including records on non-case files.

Clients who are outside Canada, and are not Canadian citizens or permanent residents, must have a representative in Canada submit a request on their behalf pursuant to the

## OP 1 Procedures

*Access to Information Act.* Appropriate authorizations to disclose information to the representative must be provided.

All requests under this Act must be submitted to BMX at NHQ. Only senior officials at NHQ have the delegated authority to release and exempt information pursuant to this Act. There is a Can\$5.00 application fee (payable by cheque or money order to the Receiver General for Canada). In some cases, clients may also be required to pay processing costs. All requests for information pursuant to the *Access to Information Act* must be responded to within 30 days.

If a visa office receives a request from BMX for information, officers should respond immediately. Officers will make one copy of the record(s) and file jacket of the immigration files, including any recommendations and rationale for any exemption on a separate page. They may wish to indicate their recommended exemptions on a separate copy of those specific pages. Officers will send a copy to BMX by the next unclassified bag. If the next bag is not scheduled to depart within a week of receipt of the request, the records will be forwarded by commercial courier.

For more information, see chapter 1, Access to Information Manual (AM).

## 10 Procedure: use of federal government identifiers

If visa offices become aware of the unauthorized use of Canadian government identifiers (e.g., Canadian flag) in commercial activities, they should take action to end the practice.

If the business address of the commercial venture is in Canada, visa offices should notify Legal Services with pertinent details. Legal Services will take the appropriate action on behalf of the visa office.

The Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, in cooperation with other departments (including IRCC), has developed a protocol dealing with interdepartmental procedures for assessing cases of unauthorized or fraudulent use of Government of Canada symbols (the flag, the coat of arms and so on), and requests to use such symbols. The protocol also outlines what can be done to protect our symbols under international agreements, and the resources available to missions abroad to report any illegitimate use of these symbols or any request to use them.

The following summary of the protocol provides officers with the tools to report websites, companies or individuals making inappropriate use of Canadian symbols. However, officers should keep in mind two points mentioned in the protocol: 1) inappropriate use of Canadian symbols abroad may be the result of ignorance on the part of the individual or company concerned, who did not know that authorization to use Canadian symbols was required; 2) in some cases, it is possible to authorize the use of Canadian government symbols, if the necessary application is made and approved.



## **OP 1 Procedures**

It should be noted that departmental corporate signatures are not protected under the international agreement related to government identifiers. However, departmental corporate signatures are nonetheless individually protected through the flag symbol, which forms part of a corporate signature.

### **Use of Canadian State Symbols Abroad (summary of the protocol)**

Canadian state symbols which the Government of Canada (GC) has communicated to the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) under Article 6<sup>ter</sup> of the Paris Convention may not be used abroad by third parties without prior authorization of the Government of Canada (the symbols that have been communicated to WIPO are shown following this summary).

The GC does not wish to be associated, without its authorization, with products or services delivered by other parties. The unauthorized use of Canadian state symbols may be misleading for the public and give the false impression that there is a link between the product or service and the GC.

Canadian posts should forward any requests they receive for the use of GC symbols and communicate instances of suspected unauthorized use that come to their attention, to the Intellectual Property, Information and Technology Trade Policy Division (TMI) – Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada. The TMI will coordinate the interdepartmental consultation process and provide the post with instructions on how to proceed. The post may be asked for additional information regarding the use of the GC symbols as well as its comments in regard to their use.

The post will be asked to communicate the decision taken to the party who made the request. In cases of suspected unauthorized use of GC symbols, the TMI will inform the posts whether the use of GC symbols is unauthorized and what steps, if any, to take.

In most instances, third parties making unauthorized use of GC symbols abroad will be: (a) advised that the use of the GC symbols is not permitted without GC authorization; (b) informed of the steps that must be taken to apply for authorization; and (c) asked to desist from using the symbols until such time as they have requested and received authorization for their use.

Posts should advise those interested in applying to use GC symbols abroad that requests must be submitted in writing and must include samples illustrating all intended uses of the symbols.

For any additional information, please contact the Intellectual Property, Information and Technology Trade Policy Division of the Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade.

International Region (RIM) can also be copied.

## OP 1 Procedures

List of website references	
The Symbols of Canada	<a href="http://www.pch.gc.ca/progs/cpsc-ccsp/sc-cs/index_e.cfm">www.pch.gc.ca/progs/cpsc-ccsp/sc-cs/index_e.cfm</a>
Commercial use of symbols abroad and in Canada	<a href="http://www.pch.gc.ca/progs/cpsc-ccsp/sc-cs/commuse_e.cfm">www.pch.gc.ca/progs/cpsc-ccsp/sc-cs/commuse_e.cfm</a>
List of country members of WTO	<a href="http://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/tif_e/org6_e.htm">www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/tif_e/org6_e.htm</a>

## State Emblems Communicated Under Article 6<sup>ter</sup> of the Paris Convention


Emblem



WIPO Database: CA 0856

Canadian Centennial Symbol

## OP 1 Procedures



WIPO Database: CA 0474

---

Armorial Bearings and  
National Flag of Canada,  
and emblems of  
the Government of Canada



Arms of Canada  
(revised 1994)

## OP 1 Procedures



Arms of Canada  
(revised 1994, stylized)



Emblem of the Office of the Governor General



National Flag of Canada



Flag Symbol



Government of Canada signature



"Canada" word mark

## 11 Procedure: conducting interviews

This content has been moved as part of the Department's efforts to modernize operational guidance to staff. It can now be found in the *Conducting Interviews* section.

## 12 Procedure: case notes

This content has been moved as part of the Department's efforts to modernize operational guidance to staff. It can now be found in the *Handling sensitive cases* section.

## 13 Procedure: DNA tests

### 13.1 Information for applicants

Visa offices should inform applicants of the following:

- the decision to be tested or not is entirely their own;
- they or their sponsor must pay all costs, including sample taking, courier costs for shipping, the laboratory analysis of all samples, and the final report submitted directly from the laboratory to IRCC and the applicant;
- the Canadian government bears no responsibility for the test. It is done by private laboratories which will forward copies of test results to immigration offices or visa offices. Applicants must sign a release and client consent form (supplied directly by the laboratory to the applicant) before laboratories will forward test results to IRCC;
- if a client does not sign a release and client consent form, or withdraws consent to release their information, the lab will write to IRCC to advise it that no information will be forthcoming, and the visa officer will treat the file as though no DNA testing has been completed;
- tests undertaken by laboratories that are not accredited by the Standards Council of Canada could result in a lengthy delay of their immigration application;

## OP 1 Procedures

- in the event that the laboratory chosen is not accredited by the Standards Council of Canada, applicants will be financially responsible for retesting if they want to proceed with the application.

For more information, see

- DNA test for relationship, section 5.9;
- When is a DNA test appropriate, section 5.10;
- DNA results, section 5.11;
- DNA companies, section 5.12;
- DNA test request letter, Appendix D; and
- Procedures for DNA testing, section 14.

### 13.2 DNA testing of relatives

A relative or family member's DNA can be very useful to DNA test results (i.e., mother of dependent children being sponsored by father), even if that family member or relative is not specifically involved with the sponsorship application (i.e., mother is previous spouse of sponsor). Visa offices receiving requests to collect samples from one or more persons, who are not necessarily involved with the file, should simply collect the samples. If there are serious doubts about the need for testing any specific individual, they should not decide that someone's sample is not required. Rather they should first discuss their concerns with the DNA testing company or ask their geographic desk to contact the DNA testing company on their behalf. This should be done prior to setting up the appointments for sample collection.

Finally, it is not always necessary to request a specific DNA report for each person who is being tested. If a paternity report is being requested and the mother is providing a sample (generally always the case when testing relationships of dependent children), then a maternity report is not necessarily required. DNA labs automatically include a covering letter with paternity reports indicating any negative relationship results between the alleged mother and any of the children. Otherwise, officers can assume that the alleged mother is the biological mother.

The following table of examples may help to clarify these issues.

#### **DNA samples that may be requested and DNA reports that may not be required**

<b>Example</b>	<b>Explanation</b>
In a simple paternity test between a child (overseas) and the sponsor (living in Canada), where the mother of the child is alive and available, it is useful to have a sample from her as well.	Since 50% of the DNA of a child comes from the father and 50% from the mother, DNA testing of the mother will ascertain which half of the child's DNA came from the mother. The remaining portion of the child's DNA will be deemed paternal and can easily be compared to the alleged father's DNA. While it is

## OP 1 Procedures

	possible to run a paternity test without the mother, there is more work involved in the determination and it is, therefore, not more cost effective to eliminate DNA testing of the mother.
If siblings are being tested to determine if they are full or half siblings, and if their alleged parents are deceased, it is useful to have samples from the deceased parents' relatives.	If it can be determined, prior to the test, that the parents or siblings of the deceased alleged parents are alive and available, the DNA testing company may request samples from them to determine the sibling relationships. Therefore, visa offices should collect these samples for the DNA test.
If the alleged father is the sponsor and a paternity report is requested, the DNA lab automatically requests a sample from the mother.	A separate maternity report is not required unless it is strongly suspected that the mother is not the biological mother and she is also being sponsored. The DNA lab determines if the alleged mother is excluded or not while running the paternity test and issues a covering letter advising of any negative relationship results between the alleged mother and any of the children.

### 14 Procedure: DNA testing

Parentage tests should, whenever possible, involve samples of genetic material from both parents and from the child(ren). If there is no possibility of obtaining samples from both parents, tests of samples from one parent (either father or mother) and the child(ren) are acceptable.

The [laboratories accredited by the Standards Council of Canada](#) will forward a tamper-proof sampling kit (including instructions) to the client or the visa office (depending on the preference of the visa office). Some visa offices stockpile kits and distribute them on notification from the listed laboratory. The kit is self-contained with everything necessary to take, pack and ship a sample. It also includes instructions for applicants and visa office staff witnessing sample taking. Following these instructions ensures the reliability of results from the sample.

Officers are responsible for assuring local sample taking arrangements are tamper-proof. Immigration medical officers will identify suitable laboratories or itinerant medical personnel able and willing to take samples.

The following steps must be followed when samples for DNA testing are taken:

- Provide clients with the link to the Standards Council of Canada website that provides names and addresses of laboratories accredited for DNA relationship testing. Applicants, their sponsors or representatives are responsible for choosing a laboratory.

## OP 1 Procedures

- Inform applicants of when and where they must give a sample. Applicants must provide two recent photos (passport size and quality). The photos form part of the documentation shipped with the sample blood.
- Applicants must also present documents to establish the identity they claim.
- An officer or other visa office official who has to be present when the sample is collected must:
  - ensure the person giving the sample is the applicant and the person identified in the sampling kit;
  - verify that the sample kit has not been tampered with and complete the chain of custody documents for the sample (or witness their completion);
  - package the sample and documentation according to instructions in the kit;
  - forward the package to the laboratory by the fastest, most reliable means possible. Ideally, no more than seven (7) days should elapse between the sample taking and receipt by the laboratory. Normally, private courier services, paid by the applicant, can deliver samples within this deadline.

## 15 Procedure: high-profile, contentious and sensitive cases

This content has been moved as part of the Department's efforts to modernize operational guidance to staff. It can now be found in the *Handling sensitive cases* section.

## 16 Procedure: applications from diplomatic, consular and official personnel

If an application for permanent residence is received from anyone with diplomatic, consular or official status in Canada, officers should notify Diplomatic Corps Services (XDC) in the Office of Protocol, Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, and provide XDC with the name and position of the applicant.

Applicants must be advised that, as well as meeting all other requirements for visa issuance, they must:

- prove their missions informed XDC that their assignment is over and that XDC cancelled their official acceptance; and
- obtain an ordinary passport.

If applicants cannot prove their visa office has notified XDC of the change in their status, they may submit proof of cancellation of official acceptance alone.

## 17 Procedure: responses to enquiries after refusal

Applicants or their representatives often submit information after a refusal. Officers should not acknowledge receipt and consideration of this information when responding. To do so



## **OP 1 Procedures**

could open the refusal decision to review beyond the time limits for applying for judicial review (30 days after the date of the refusal letter).

Also, when the response states that the refusal decision was reviewed by someone other than the officer who made it, the courts may look on the review as a new decision.

Applicants or their representatives should be invited to submit new information with a new application. Appendix B contains a sample letter of response to this effect.

## **18 Procedure: humanitarian and compassionate considerations**

A provision of section A25 allows the Minister to grant permanent residence to applicants who do not meet certain requirements of the foreign national class in which they have applied [R67 and R68]. Visa offices should refer to the delegation of instruments to determine who is permitted to act as the Minister's delegate when considering the issuance of a permanent resident visa under section A25.

The Department has not restricted use of this authority to a list of defined circumstances. A list would not be sufficiently comprehensive or flexible. The Department trusts the good judgment and discretion of its officers (and managers) to recognize when humanitarian and compassionate conditions warrant consideration under section A25.

The Department has given delegated authority with the expectation that it will be used to resolve problems.

The Department also expects officers to be fully accountable for use of this authority. They must ensure that a complete record of the background and rationale for their decision forms part of case files.

Officers' written recommendations to waive the regulations are part of this record. The decision-makers must sign and date their own decisions.

The record of background and rationale, recommendations and program manager decisions must appear in the case notes of CAIPS files.

For further information on humanitarian and compassionate consideration under section A25, see chapter OP 4.

## **19 Procedure: document retention and disposal**

When deciding whether to retain or dispose of a document on a permanent or temporary residence application, visa offices should consider

- whether the document is directly germane to any positive or negative decision taken on the application;

## OP 1 Procedures

- whether the document may be essential as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceedings resulting from a refusal of the application;
- whether CAIPS notes are sufficiently well documented concerning the material so that it can be disposed of or returned to the applicant;
- the need to ensure that applications are as thin as possible to ensure efficient space management at visa offices.

### 19.1. Principles guiding the retention and disposal of documents

Visa offices have the authority to retain minimum paper documentation on immigrant and non-immigrant files in accordance with the guidelines in section 19.2.

Documentation obtainable from other sources is not retained on finalized files. Examples include copies of permanent resident counterfoils and confirmation of permanent residence, temporary resident permits, Quebec Acceptance Certificates (CAQs) and CSQs. Relevant details from these documents should be recorded in CAIPS notes.

Visa offices should regularly review the documents that clients submit with their applications to ensure that only those documents that are relevant to the processing of a case are requested. Should visa offices note that documents routinely requested in the application kits are not relevant to decision-making in a permanent or temporary residence application, they should notify RIM/NHQ to allow for a review of kits to determine if those documents should no longer be required.

Visa offices should look for administrative opportunities to dispose of unneeded documents throughout the application process. Where possible, decisions on retention or disposal of documents should not be made only at the finalization of an application.

There are no legal impediments to immediately microfilming or microfiching documentation on approved and refused files. Microfilmed documentation is admissible in court proceedings under section 31 of the *Canada Evidence Act*.

Library and Archives Canada requires that the paper file be retained where an applicant might have been involved in war crimes or crimes against humanity. Five years after finalization, these files are to be forwarded to the Government Archives Division (GAD) of the Library and Archives Canada.

### 19.2. Guidelines for the retention and disposal of documents

#### During processing

Documents that support routine processing are not to be filed. Examples of such documents include birth, marriage and education certificates, and copies of passports in immigrant files; and curricula vitae, school acceptances, statements of net worth, and letters of

## **OP 1 Procedures**

invitation in non immigrant files. The date of receipt and nature of contents, where applicable, are to be recorded in CAIPS notes.

After their receipt has been recorded, the documents are either discarded or, if they are originals, they are returned to the applicant.

The officer's record of information from returned or discarded documents must be sufficiently detailed to permit another officer to continue processing or to respond to representations. Officers must also ensure that CAIPS notes record any relevant information that may be used in administrative or judicial proceedings should a refusal be appealed. Visa offices should refer to RIM-03-10 and RIM-03-027, which outline the guidelines for documents required by hearings officers for evidentiary purposes.

Officers may keep contentious or questionable documents on file during processing. If officers rely on a document to refuse an application, they will keep it on file, microfilm or microfiche after finalization (see Appendix F).

Officers must retain all evidence of an applicant's involvement in war crimes or crimes against humanity.

### **Following finalization**

For those visa offices that have the capability, contents of files may be microfilmed or microfiched for storage, except for documents relating to an applicant's involvement in war crimes or crimes against humanity. These documents are to be kept for five years and then forwarded to Library and Archives Canada (see section 19.1 for the address). The IMM 0008E form may be microfilmed, except in the case of applications by persons involved in war crimes or crimes against humanity.

At visa offices without microfilm equipment, paper documents are retained according to the file retention and destruction schedule in Appendix F. This schedule also applies to the microfilming of documents. NHQ is currently reviewing the Department's policy on electronic and other technical means to preserve file documents in modes other than hard copies. Equipment and human resource costs must be carefully reviewed before any policy decisions are made.

Where appropriate, the microfilming or microfiching of documents should immediately follow finalization.

Periods for document retention differ. Documents are to be separated and microfilmed according to the following file destruction periods:

- 65 years for IMM 0008E forms in all cases;
- five years for criminal, medical or security refusals, controversial cases, or those of particular administrative interest;
- three years for successful entrepreneur cases;

## OP 1 Procedures

- two years for other refusals and finalizations.

## Appendix A Where to apply for a permanent resident visa, temporary resident visa, study permit, work permit or permanent resident travel document

<b>If you are a citizen or permanent resident of or have been lawfully admitted to one of the following countries or territories</b>	<b>For permanent residence</b>  <b>You must have been lawfully admitted for at least one year and you must apply at the corresponding Canadian mission in this column</b>  <b>Note: For the federal skilled worker class, all applications must be sent to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, NS. See OP 6.</b>	<b>For a temporary resident visa</b>  <b>You must apply at the corresponding Canadian mission in this column</b>	<b>For a study permit</b>  <b>You must apply at the corresponding Canadian mission in this column</b>	<b>For a work permit</b>  <b>You must apply at the corresponding Canadian mission in this column</b>	<b>For a permanent resident travel document (PRTD)</b>  <b>You must apply at the corresponding Canadian mission in this column</b>
Afghanistan	Islamabad	Islamabad	Islamabad	Islamabad	Islamabad
Albania	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome
Algeria	Paris	Algiers, for processing in Paris	Algiers, for processing in Paris	Algiers, for processing in Paris	Paris
American Samoa	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Andorra	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
Angola	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
Anguilla	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Antigua & Barbuda	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Argentina	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires
Armenia	Warsaw	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow
Aruba	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas
Ascension	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Australia	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Austria	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Azerbaijan	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara
Bahamas	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston
Bahrain	London  Abu Dhabi is responsible for applications in the	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi

## OP 1 Procedures

	<p>following categories only:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family class</li> <li>• Protected persons</li> <li>• Quebec cases (all categories)</li> <li>• Provincial nominees</li> <li>• Dependants of the live-in caregiver class in Canada</li> </ul>				
Bangladesh	Singapore	Dhaka	Dhaka	Dhaka	Dhaka
Barbados	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Belarus	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw
Belau, Republic of (or Palau)	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Belgium	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
Belize	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City
Benin	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Bermuda	Buffalo	New York	New York	New York	New York
Bhutan	New Delhi	New Delhi	New Delhi	New Delhi	New Delhi
Bolivia	Lima	Lima	Lima	Lima	Lima
Bonaire (Netherlands Antilles)	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas
Bosnia-Herzegovina	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Botswana	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
Brazil	Sao Paulo	Sao Paulo	Sao Paulo	Sao Paulo	Sao Paulo
British Indian Ocean Territory	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo
Brunei	Singapore	Singapore	Singapore	Singapore	Singapore
Bulgaria	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest
Burkina-Faso	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Ouagadougou (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Ouagadougou (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Ouagadougou (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Ouagadougou (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)
Burma (Myanmar,	Singapore	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok

**OP 1 Procedures**

Union of)					
Burundi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Cambodia	Singapore	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok
Cameroon	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).
Canada (for temporary lawful resident only)	Buffalo	Buffalo Detroit Los Angeles New York Seattle Washington D.C.	Buffalo Detroit Los Angeles New York Seattle Washington D.C.	Buffalo Detroit Los Angeles New York Seattle Washington D.C.	n/a
Canary Islands	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
Cape Verde	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar
Caroline Islands	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Cayman Islands	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston
Central African Republic	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).
Chad	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or

**OP 1 Procedures**

		(if submitting by courier).	Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Dakar (if submitting by courier).
Channel Islands	London	London	London	London	London
Chile	Santiago	Santiago	Santiago	Santiago	Santiago
China (see People's Republic of China)	Beijing Hong Kong	Beijing Hong Kong Shanghai	Beijing Hong Kong	Beijing Hong Kong Shanghai	Beijing Hong Kong Shanghai
Christmas Island	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Colombia	Bogota	Bogota	Bogota	Bogota	Bogota
Comoros Island	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Cook Islands	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Costa Rica	Guatemala City	San Jose	San Jose	San Jose	Guatemala City
Croatia	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Cuba	Havana	Havana	Havana	Havana	Havana
Curaçao (Netherlands Antilles)	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas
Cyprus	Ankara Damascus	Ankara Damascus	Ankara Damascus	Ankara Damascus	Ankara Damascus
Czech Republic	Vienna	Prague Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nairobi	Kinshasa, for processing in Nairobi	Kinshasa, for processing in Nairobi	Kinshasa, for processing in Nairobi	Nairobi
Denmark	London	London	London	London	London
Djibouti	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Dominica	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo	Santo Domingo	Santo Domingo	Santo Domingo	Santo Domingo
East Timor	Singapore	Jakarta	Jakarta	Jakarta	Jakarta
Easter Island	Santiago	Santiago	Santiago	Santiago	Santiago
Ecuador	Bogotá	Bogotá	Bogotá	Bogotá	Bogotá
Egypt	Cairo	Cairo	Cairo	Cairo	Cairo
El Salvador	Guatemala City	San Salvador	San Salvador	San Salvador	Guatemala City
England	London	London	London	London	London
Equatorial Guinea	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if	Yaoundé (in person at the High Commission of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if



## OP 1 Procedures

		by courier).	submitting by courier).	submitting by courier).	submitting by courier).
Eritrea	Nairobi	Asmara, for processing in Nairobi	Asmara, for processing in Nairobi	Asmara, for processing in Nairobi	Nairobi
Estonia	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw
Ethiopia	Nairobi	Addis Ababa, for processing in Nairobi	Addis Ababa, for processing in Nairobi	Addis Ababa, for processing in Nairobi	Nairobi
Falkland Islands	Buenos Aires London	Buenos Aires London	Buenos Aires London	Buenos Aires London	Buenos Aires London
Federated States of Micronesia	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Fiji	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Finland	London	London	London	London	London
France	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
French Guiana	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
French Polynesia	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Gabon	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar
Gambia	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar
Gaza (Palestinian Authority)	Tel Aviv	Cairo	Cairo	Cairo	Tel Aviv
Georgia	Ankara Moscow	Ankara Moscow	Ankara Moscow	Ankara Moscow	Ankara Moscow
Germany	Berlin	Berlin	Berlin	Berlin	Berlin
Ghana	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Gibraltar	Paris London	Paris London	Paris London	Paris London	Paris London
Greece	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome
Greenland	London	London	London	London	London
Grenada	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Guadeloupe	Port-au-Prince Paris	Port-au-Prince Paris	Port-au-Prince Paris	Port-au-Prince Paris	Port of Spain Paris
Guam	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila

## OP 1 Procedures

Guatemala	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City
Guinea	Dakar – Exceptions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refugees (Accra);</li> <li>Quebec-selected Skilled Workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).</li> </ul>	Conakry (in person at the office of the IOM, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Conakry (in person at the office of the IOM, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Conakry (in person at the office of the IOM, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).	Conakry (in person at the office of the IOM, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier).
Guinea-Bissau	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar
Guyana	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince
Honduras	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City
Hong Kong SAR	Hong Kong	Hong Kong	Hong Kong	Hong Kong	Hong Kong
Hungary	Vienna	Budapest	Budapest	Budapest	Budapest
Iceland	London	London	London	London	London
India	New Delhi	New Delhi Chandigarh	New Delhi Chandigarh	New Delhi Chandigarh	New Delhi Chandigarh
Indonesia	Singapore	Jakarta	Jakarta	Jakarta	Jakarta
Iran	Damascus	Tehran	Tehran	Tehran	Tehran
Iraq	Damascus	Amman	Amman	Amman	Amman
Ireland	London	London	London	London	London
Israel	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv
Italy	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome
Ivory Coast	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected Skilled Workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Abidjan (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Abidjan (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Abidjan (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Abidjan (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)
Jamaica	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston
Japan	Manila	Tokyo	Tokyo	Tokyo	Tokyo
Johnston Atoll	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Jordan	Damascus	Amman	Amman	Amman	Amman

## OP 1 Procedures

Kazakhstan	Warsaw	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow
Kenya	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Kiribati	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Kosovo	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Kuwait	London  Abu Dhabi is responsible for applications in the following categories only: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family class</li> <li>• Protected persons</li> <li>• Quebec cases (all categories)</li> <li>• Provincial nominees</li> <li>• Dependants of the live-in caregiver class in Canada</li> </ul>	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi
Kyrgyzstan	Warsaw	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow
Laos	Singapore	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok
Latvia	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw
Lebanon	Damascus	Beirut	Beirut	Beirut	Beirut
Lesotho	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
Liberia	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Libya	Paris	Tripoli, for processing in Tunis	Tripoli, for processing in Tunis	Tripoli, for processing in Tunis	Tripoli, for processing in Tunis
Liechtenstein	Paris Berlin	Paris Berlin	Paris Berlin	Paris Berlin	Paris Berlin
Lithuania	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw
Luxembourg	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
Macao	Hong Kong	Hong Kong	Hong Kong	Hong Kong	Hong Kong
Macedonia (former Yugoslav Republic of)	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Madagascar (Republic of)	Nairobi	Antananarivo, for processing in Nairobi	Antananarivo, for processing in Nairobi	Antananarivo, for processing in Nairobi	Nairobi
Madeira	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris

## OP 1 Procedures

Malawi	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
Malaysia	Kuala Lumpur	Kuala Lumpur	Kuala Lumpur	Kuala Lumpur	Kuala Lumpur
Maldives	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo
Mali	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Bamako (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Bamako (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Bamako (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Bamako (in person at the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)
Malta	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome
Marianas	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Marie-Galante	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Marshall Islands (Republic of the)	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Martinique	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Mauritania	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar
Mauritius	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Mexico	Mexico City	Mexico City	Mexico City	Mexico City	Mexico City
Micronesia (Federated States of)	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Midway Island	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Moldova	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest
Monaco	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
Mongolia	Beijing	Beijing	Beijing	Beijing	Beijing
Montserrat	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Morocco	Rabat	Rabat	Rabat	Rabat	Rabat
Mozambique	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
Myanmar, Union of (Burma)	Singapore	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok
Namibia	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
Nauru	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Nepal	New Delhi	New Delhi	New Delhi	New Delhi	New Delhi
Netherlands	Berlin	Berlin	Berlin	Berlin	Berlin
New Caledonia	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney

## OP 1 Procedures

New Zealand	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Nicaragua	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City
Niger	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Niamey (in person at the Office of the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Niamey (in person at the Office of the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Niamey (in person at the Office of the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)	Niamey (in person at the Office of the Embassy of Canada, for processing in Dakar) or Dakar (if submitting by courier)
Nigeria	Accra	Lagos	Lagos	Lagos	Lagos
Niue	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Norfolk Island	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
North Korea	Beijing	Beijing	Beijing	Beijing	Beijing
Northern Ireland	London	London	London	London	London
Northern Mariana Islands	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Norway	London	London	London	London	London
Oman	London  Abu Dhabi is responsible for applications in the following categories only:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family class</li> <li>• Protected persons</li> <li>• Quebec cases (all categories)</li> <li>• Provincial nominees</li> <li>• Dependants of the live-in caregiver class in Canada</li> </ul>	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi
Pakistan	Islamabad	Islamabad	Islamabad	Islamabad	Islamabad
Palau (or Republic of Belau)	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Palestinian	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv

**OP 1 Procedures**

Authority (West Bank & Gaza Strip)	Cairo  Persons resident in Gaza may apply in Cairo, if able to access Egypt to present application and/or attend interview.	Cairo Amman  Persons resident in Gaza may apply in Cairo if able to access Egypt to present application and/or attend interview.  Persons resident in the West Bank may apply in Amman if able to access Jordan to present application and/or attend interview.	Cairo Amman  Persons resident in Gaza may apply in Cairo if able to access Egypt to present application and/or attend interview.  Persons resident in the West Bank may apply in Amman if able to access Jordan to present application and/or attend interview.	Cairo Amman  Persons resident in Gaza may apply in Cairo if able to access Egypt to present application and/or attend interview.  Persons resident in the West Bank may apply in Amman if able to access Jordan to present application and/or attend interview.	Cairo  Persons resident in Gaza may apply in Cairo if able to access Egypt to present application and/or attend interview.
Panama	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City	Guatemala City
Papua New Guinea	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Paraguay	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires
People's Republic of China	Beijing Hong Kong	Beijing Hong Kong Shanghai	Beijing Hong Kong	Beijing Hong Kong Shanghai	Beijing Hong Kong Shanghai
Peru	Lima	Lima	Lima	Lima	Lima
Philippines	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Polynesia, French	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Poland	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw	Warsaw
Portugal (Azores, Madeira)	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
Puerto Rico	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Qatar	London  Abu Dhabi is responsible for applications in the following categories only:	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi

## OP 1 Procedures

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family class</li> <li>• Protected persons</li> <li>• Quebec cases (all categories)</li> <li>• Provincial nominees</li> <li>• Dependants of the live-in caregiver class in Canada</li> </ul>				
Republic of Congo	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Reunion	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Romania	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest	Bucharest
Russia	Warsaw	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow
Rwanda	Nairobi	Kigali, for processing in Nairobi	Kigali, for processing in Nairobi	Kigali, for processing in Nairobi	Nairobi
Saba (Netherlands Antilles)	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Samoa	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Samoa (American)	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
San Marino	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome
Sao Tome and Principe	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Saudi Arabia	<p>London</p> <p>Abu Dhabi is responsible for applications in the following categories only:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family class</li> <li>• Protected persons</li> <li>• Quebec cases (all categories)</li> <li>• Provincial nominees</li> <li>• Dependants of the live-in caregiver class</li> </ul>	Riyadh	Riyadh	Riyadh	Riyadh

**OP 1 Procedures**

	in Canada				
Scotland	London	London	London	London	London
Senegal	Dakar – Exception: Quebec-selected skilled workers must apply to the Centralized Intake Office in Sydney, Nova Scotia (Canada).	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar	Dakar
Serbia- Montenegro	Vienna	Belgrade	Belgrade	Belgrade	Belgrade
Seychelles	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
Sierra Leone	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Singapore	Singapore	Singapore	Singapore	Singapore	Singapore
Slovakia	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Slovenia	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna	Vienna
Solomon Islands	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Somalia	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi	Nairobi
South Africa	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
South Korea	Seoul	Seoul	Seoul	Seoul	Seoul
Spain	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris	Paris
Sri Lanka	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo	Colombo
Saint-Pierre and Miquelon	Buffalo Paris	Buffalo Paris	Buffalo Paris	Buffalo Paris	Buffalo Paris
Saint Barthélemy	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince
Saint Eustatius	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Saint Helena	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Saint Kitts and Nevis	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Saint Lucia	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Saint Maarten (Netherlands Antilles)	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince	Port-au-Prince
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Sudan	Cairo	Cairo	Cairo	Cairo	Cairo
Suriname	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Svalbard	London	London	London	London	London
Swaziland	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria	Pretoria
Sweden	London	London	London	London	London
Switzerland	Paris	Paris	Paris Berlin	Paris Berlin	Paris
Syria	Damascus	Damascus	Damascus	Damascus	Damascus
Tahiti	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney



## OP 1 Procedures

Taiwan	Taipei	Taipei	Taipei	Taipei	Taipei
Tajikistan	Warsaw	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow
Tanzania	Nairobi	Dar es Salaam, for processing in Nairobi	Dar es Salaam, for processing in Nairobi	Dar es Salaam, for processing in Nairobi	Nairobi
Thailand	Singapore	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok	Bangkok
Togo	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Tokelau Island	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Tonga	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Trinidad and Tobago	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Tristan da Cunha	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra	Accra
Tunisia	Paris	Tunis	Tunis	Tunis	Tunis
Turkey	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara
Turkmenistan	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara	Ankara
Turks & Caicos	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston	Kingston
Tuvalu	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Uganda	Nairobi	Kampala, for processing in Nairobi	Kampala, for processing in Nairobi	Kampala, for processing in Nairobi	Nairobi
Ukraine	Kyiv	Kyiv	Kyiv	Kyiv	Kyiv
United Arab Emirates	London  Abu Dhabi is responsible for applications in the following categories only:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family class</li> <li>• Protected persons</li> <li>• Quebec cases (all categories)</li> <li>• Provincial nominees</li> <li>• Dependants of the live-in caregiver class in Canada</li> </ul>	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi
Uruguay	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires
U.S. Trust Territories of the Pacific	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila

## OP 1 Procedures

Islands					
United States of America	Buffalo	Buffalo Detroit Los Angeles New York Seattle Washington, D.C.	Buffalo Detroit Los Angeles New York Seattle Washington, D.C.	Buffalo Detroit Los Angeles New York Seattle Washington, D.C.	Buffalo Detroit Los Angeles New York Seattle Washington, D.C.
Uzbekistan	Warsaw	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow	Moscow
Vanuatu	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
Vatican City	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome	Rome
Venezuela	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas	Caracas
Vietnam	Singapore	Ho Chi Minh City	Ho Chi Minh City	Ho Chi Minh City	Ho Chi Minh City
Virgin Islands (British and U.S.)	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain	Port of Spain
Wake Island	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila	Manila
Wales	London	London	London	London	London
Wallis & Futuna	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney	Sydney
West Bank (Palestinian Authority)	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv
Western Sahara	Rabat	Rabat	Rabat	Rabat	Rabat
Yemen	London  Abu Dhabi is responsible for applications in the following categories only:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Family class</li> <li>• Protected persons</li> <li>• Quebec cases (all categories)</li> <li>• Provincial nominees</li> <li>• Dependants of the live-in caregiver class in Canada</li> </ul>	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi	Abu Dhabi

## OP 1 Procedures

Zambia	Pretoria	Lusaka	Lusaka	Pretoria	Pretoria
Zimbabwe	Pretoria	Harare	Harare	Pretoria	Pretoria
Seafarers on tankers at sea destined to offload oil and gas in the Atlantic provinces				London New York	

For specific information on requirements for each visa office, please check the Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada website at [www.cic.gc.ca/english/information/offices/index.asp](http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/information/offices/index.asp) and follow the appropriate links.

## Appendix B Sample response to enquiries after refusal

Dear...

This letter is in response to your letter of (date) regarding the application for permanent residence in Canada made by (name).

(Name)'s application for permanent residence in Canada was considered on its substantive merits [and, if applicable, for possible humanitarian and compassionate grounds] and was refused. (Name) was provided with the decision containing the reasons for refusing (his/her) application for permanent residence in Canada by letter addressed to (him/her) date (date), thereby fully concluding (his/her) application.

Should (name) have different or additional information, (he/she) may wish to submit a new application for permanent residence in Canada.

Yours truly,

(name)

## Appendix C Revocation of authorization and direction

### (i) Revocation of authorization and direction (family/skilled workers categories)

DATE

I hereby revoke my authorization and direction signed by me on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ which is contained in the *Authority to Release Information to Designated Individuals* form [IMM 5475E] of my application for permanent residence in the family/skilled workers category authorizing the Department of Citizenship and Immigration to release information from my immigration records to \_\_\_\_\_ and this shall be your good and sufficient authority for so doing.

Hereafter this date, I further direct you to communicate with (me directly or with my new representative) with respect to any and all matters pertaining to my application for permanent residence and to forward to (that person) any information and documentation that may be relevant to my application.

#### **Postal address to be used for my immigration application as of today**

Name:

Mailing address:

Postal code:

Telephone number (including country code and area code):

Fax number (including country code and area code):

Email address (if applicable):

### (ii) Revocation of authorization and direction (business category)

DATE

I hereby revoke my authorization and direction signed by me on the \_\_\_\_ day or \_\_\_\_\_ which is contained in the *Authority to Release Information to Designated Individuals* form [IMM 5475E] of my application for permanent residence in the business category authorizing the Department of Citizenship and Immigration to release information from my immigration records to \_\_\_\_\_ and this shall be your good and sufficient authority for so doing.

## **OP 1 Procedures**

Hereafter this date, I further direct you to communicate with (me directly or with my new representative) with respect to any and all matters pertaining to my application for permanent residence and to forward to (that person) any information and documentation that may be relevant to my application.

### **Postal address to be used for my immigration application as of today**

Name:

Mailing address:

Postal code:

Telephone number (including country code and area code):

Fax number (including country code and area code):

Email address (if applicable):

## Appendix D Sample letter requesting a DNA test (to be adapted to your needs)

[Date]

[File number]

[Name and address]

Dear [applicant]:

This refers to your application for permanent residence in Canada.

**Include the following paragraph if no documentary evidence is submitted in support of the application.**

After reviewing the information provided in support of your application, I am not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to prove the parent-child relationship between you and [NAME OF CHILD].

**Include the following paragraph if some documentary evidence is submitted but is not satisfactory. Add brief reasons for suggesting a DNA test as well. Sample reasons are listed below.**

After reviewing the information provided in support of your application, I am not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to prove the parent-child relationship between you and [NAME OF CHILD].

- The birth certificate you provided was issued after you submitted your application for permanent residence.
- The birth certificate you provided was sent for verification to the (name of the authorities). [Name of the authorities] have confirmed that the certificate was never issued by them.

Since the documentary evidence you have provided does not enable us to establish parentage between you and the child, and you are unable to obtain other documentary evidence, in place of documentary evidence we will accept the results of a DNA analysis carried out by a laboratory accredited by the Standards Council of Canada for DNA testing. You are responsible for the selection of such an accredited laboratory in Canada and for the costs associated with sample taking, shipping, laboratory analysis and report submission. Please refer to the [list of laboratories accredited by the Standards Council of Canada](#) to conduct DNA testing.

Once you decide to undergo DNA testing, the chosen laboratory will send us a letter informing us that you are prepared to undertake testing. Upon receipt of this letter, we will

## OP 1 Procedures

then contact you with a date for sample collection at an [APPROVED COLLECTION SITE]. We will also inform you of the requirements for a valid passport, an identification card, two passport-size photos, and the fees for the sample collection procedure and courier services to send each sample to Canada. Please note that it is important for you to have on hand the necessary identification documents so that we may proceed with the sample collection.

The results of the DNA tests will be sent to us by the laboratory when analysis has been completed. This can take approximately four to six weeks.

If the tests confirm the relationship between you and [NAME OF CHILD], we will be in a position to proceed with the processing of your application or issue new medical instructions if your medical results have expired by that time.

### **DNA tests are not mandatory.**

If we are not advised within 90 days by a laboratory that you will undergo DNA testing, we will assume that you are no longer interested in providing a DNA test result and will render a decision based on the information available to us at that time.

Sincerely,

[VISA OFFICER]

A list of accredited laboratories can be found on the [Standards Council of Canada website](#).



## Appendix E Acknowledgment of receipt (AOR) letter (sample wording)

Dear...

This letter refers to your application for permanent residence in Canada, which was received at this office on (date).

Processing time

Applications for permanent residence in Canada in the foreign national category that you have applied to require on average ... months to process at this office. If you have not received any instructions from this office by (date), you are requested to contact this office directly. You will be notified of the appointment for your interview, if required, approximately ... months prior to the date of the interview, and you will be provided with further instructions at that time. If you have not received notifications of your interview appointment by (date), please contact this office directly.

Interview

Section 15 of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* authorizes an officer to proceed with an examination where a person makes an application for permanent residence in Canada for the purpose of determining whether the person and all accompanying family members appear to be persons who may be granted entry into Canada. A personal interview may be required as part of the examination process. Section 16 of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* states that a person who makes an application must answer truthfully all questions put to them for the purpose of the examination. If an applicant appears for the interview without all the family members as required by the officer, then the required examination cannot be completed. This means that the applicant would be found to be inadmissible to Canada as a foreign national by virtue of the fact that they are unable to comply with all the requirements of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* and the Regulations, following sections 11 and 41 of the Act.

Interpreters

Interpreters must be arranged in advance for any family members who will be interviewed and who are unable to communicate well in either of Canada's official languages of English or French. Instructions for the provision of interpreters will be provided to you prior to your interview appointment.

Non-resident applications

If you or any of your accompanying family members are not normally resident in the area of responsibility of this office, then you should be aware that there may be additional delay in the processing of your application for permanent residence in Canada. This delay will result

## **OP 1 Procedures**

from the need to refer your case to the Canadian immigration office that is responsible for the country in which you or your family members normally reside. This referral is necessary to verify any information that you have provided with your application, or for advice concerning qualifications or other matters of a local concern that should be taken into account when assessing your application.

I trust that this information concerning your application for permanent residence in Canada is helpful. Thank you for your interest in Canada.

## Appendix F Disposal of paper documentation

Documents noted in column "Retain hard copy," i.e., IMM 0008E, refer to item 2 at the bottom of the table. All other documents refer to item 1, also at the bottom of the page.

<b>Document</b>	<b>Note and discard on receipt (see 1 below)</b>	<b>Note and discard on selection (see 1 below)</b>	<b>Retain hard copy</b>	<b>Microfilm</b>
IMM 0008E			See 2 below	
IMM 1344AE, IMM 1344BE				
CAQ/CSQ				
Family composition			See 2 below	
Birth/marriage certificates				
Education certificates				
Copies of passports				
Job descriptions or job offers				
Verification of relationship				
Proof of net worth				
Business history				
Business proposal				
Form letters				
Convocation letter				
Status inquiries				
Certificate of no conviction				
Certificate of conviction			See 2 below	
Stage B "NRT" stamp				
Security concerns			See 2 below	
Evidence of war crimes			See 2 below	
Evidence of crimes against humanity			See 2 below	
Medical results (M1 to M3)				
Medical results (M4 to M7)				

## OP 1 Procedures

Contentious information			See 2 below	
Refusal letter			See 2 below	
Statutory declaration			See 2 below	

\* Individual file circumstances may justify modifications to the retention and disposal schedule.

1. Visa offices may retain documents if they consider that notation in CAIPS is insufficient to justify the refusal of an application (i.e., selected photographs in family class cases). Documents relevant to refusals for reasons of war crimes or crimes against humanity cannot be microfilmed.
2. At visa offices without microfilm or microfiche equipment, documents and files are to be retained in paper format according to file retention and disposal schedules.

## Appendix G Sample ARC letters

File number: B#####

**NAME**

**Address**

**Address**

**Address**

Dear [name],

This refers to your application for a permanent resident visa/temporary resident visa.

Persons who have been ordered deported from Canada require special written authorization in order to return.

Subsection 52(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* states that:

"If a removal order has been enforced, the foreign national shall not return to Canada, unless authorized by an officer or in other prescribed circumstances."

Further, subsection 226(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Regulations* provides that a deportation order obliges the foreign national to obtain written authorization in order to return to Canada at any time after the deportation order was enforced.

Our records show that you were ordered deported on [or about date]. As you were previously deported from Canada, you are currently inadmissible to Canada and you require authorization to return to Canada if you wish to continue with this application for a [permanent resident/temporary resident] visa.

Should you decide to apply for authorization to return to Canada, you must indicate why special considerations apply in your case and pay a processing fee of Can\$400.00.

In addition, an interview may be required to assess your request. Please note, there is no guarantee your application for authorization to return to Canada will be approved.

Finally, section 243 of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Regulations* provides that a person removed from Canada, at the expense of the Government of Canada, shall not return to Canada if that person has not paid all removal costs. The costs amount to Can\$750.00 for each removal to the United States or Saint-Pierre and Miquelon; and Can\$1,500.00 for removal to any other country.

## OP 1 Procedures

Fees are payable in (local currency) or Canadian dollars. Payment must be in the form of a bank draft or certified cheque. Cash is not accepted for fee payment. The bank draft or certified cheque should be made for the exact amount and payable to "Canadian High Commission/Canadian Consulate/Canadian Embassy" if paying in (local currency) or "The Receiver General for Canada" if paying in Canadian funds payable at [visa office].

You have 90 days from the date of this letter to submit your request for authorization to return to Canada along with the processing fee. If you choose not to apply for authorization to return to Canada, your application for a [permanent resident/temporary resident] visa will be assessed on the basis of the available information.

Please indicate your file number in any correspondence with this office.

Sincerely,

Visa/Immigration officer  
Canadian High Commission/Canadian Consulate/Canadian Embassy

---

File number: B#####

**NAME**

**Address**

**Address**

**Address**

Dear [name],

This letter refers to your application for a permanent resident visa/temporary resident visa.

Persons who have been ordered excluded from Canada require special written authorization in order to return.

Subsection 52(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act* states that:

"If a removal order has been enforced, the foreign national shall not return to Canada, unless authorized by an officer or in other prescribed circumstances."

Further, section 225 of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Regulations* provides that an exclusion order obliges the foreign national to obtain written authorization in order to

## OP 1 Procedures

return to Canada during the one-year period after the exclusion order was enforced (or two years in the event the exclusion order was enforced as a result of misrepresentation).

Our records show that you were ordered excluded on [or about date], and that this order was enforced on [date]. As you were previously excluded from Canada, you are currently inadmissible to Canada and require authorization to return to Canada if you wish to continue with this application for a [permanent resident/temporary resident] visa. The earliest date at which you will not require authorization to return to Canada is [date].

Should you decide to apply for authorization to return to Canada, you must indicate why special considerations apply in your case and pay a processing fee of Can\$400.00.

In addition, an interview may be required to assess your request. Please note that there is no guarantee your application for authorization to return to Canada will be approved.

Finally, section 243 of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Regulations* provides that anyone removed from Canada, at the expense of the Government of Canada, shall not return to Canada if that person has not paid all removal costs. The costs amount to Can\$750.00 for each removal to the United States or St.-Pierre-et-Miquelon; and Can\$1,500.00 for removal to any other country.

Fees are payable in (local currency) or Canadian dollars. Payment must be in the form of a bank draft or certified cheque. Cash is not accepted for fee payment. The bank draft or certified cheque should be made for the exact amount and payable to "Canadian High Commission/Canadian Consulate/Canadian Embassy" (if paying in local currency) or "The Receiver General for Canada" (if paying in Canadian funds) payable at [visa office].

You have 90 days from the date of this letter to submit your request for authorization to return to Canada along with the processing fee. If you choose not to apply for authorization to return to Canada, your application for a [permanent resident/temporary resident] visa will be assessed on the basis of the available information.

Please indicate your file number in any correspondence with this office.

Sincerely,

Visa/Immigration officer  
Canadian High Commission/Canadian Consulate/Canadian Embassy