

Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions Canada Bureau du surintendant des institutions financières Canada

Office of the Chief Actuary

Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

23rd Actuarial Report on the Canada Pension Plan as at 31 December 2006 and its Peer Review Process

Presentation to the Board of Directors of the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board







Presentation

- Purpose of the CPP Actuarial Report
- Demographic and Economic Assumptions
- CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan
- Uncertainty of Results
- Strengthening the Accountability and Peer Review



Purpose of the CPP Actuarial Report

- Tabled by the Minister of Finance on 29 October 2007
- Inform on the current and projected future financial status of the Canada Pension Plan
- Calculate the minimum contribution rate





Consultations on Assumptions

- CPP and QPP seminars were organized to get opinions from a wide range of experts in the fields of demography, economics and investments.
- Federal and provincial officials attended these seminars.



Presentation

- Purpose of the CPP Actuarial Report
- Demographic and Economic Assumptions
- CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan
- Uncertainty of Results
- Strengthening the Accountability and Peer Review



Fertility Rate





Net Migration Rate



Increase in Life Expectancies at 65^{*}

*Life expectancies shown are without assumed future mortality improvements.



BSIF Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

Panel's view: reasonable

After 2025, almost all of the projected population increase will come from migration



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

OSFI

BSIF

Working Age Population (ages 20-59) (indexed 2005=100)



Economic Assumptions

- Participation rates
- Employment increase (Job creation rate)
 Unemployment and

of earners

- Unemployment rate
- Inflation rate
- Increase of average employment earnings
- Interest rate and rate of return by asset class

Sources: *Historical Trends, Recent Experience, PEAP (U of T), Conference Board,* Department of Finance, CIA Report on Canadian Economic Statistics, Watson Wyatt Economic Expectations Survey, Canada Revenue Agency, Bank of Canada, CPP/QPP Seminars, CPPIB



Gap between male and female participation rates will continue to decrease but at a slower pace



Annual Increase in Consumer Price Index



Annual Increase in Real Wages



Real Increase of Total Employment Earnings (18-69, Canada less Québec)



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

CPP reference portfolio consists of 65% equity and 35% debt

Evolution of CPP Asset Mix

	Fixed Income	Equity	Inflation- Sensitive
2007	28%	65%	7%
2010	30%	60%	10%
2015	30%	60%	10%
2020	35%	55%	10%
2025	40%	50%	10%



Panel's view : Ultimate asset mix is a little more heavily weighted to fixed income than they would have expected.

Real Rate of Return by Asset Class

	(2007-2011)	<u>CPP23 (2025+)</u>		<u>CPP21</u>	(2033+)
	Ļ	Rate	Mix	Rate	Mix
•	Canadian Equities: (3.5%)	5.1%	15%	4.6%	15%
•	Foreign Equities: (3.5%)	5.1%	35%	5.0%	30%
•	RE & Infrastructure: (2.9%)	3.95%	10%	4.0%	10%
•	Marketable Bonds: (2.7%)	3.2%	39.5%	3.4%	44.5%
•	Cash:	1.0%	0.5%	1.5%	0.5%
•	Total Real Return	4.22	2%	4.1	%

Average Real Rate of Return (2007-2016): 3.8%

Panel's view: long-term assumption is within, but towards the low side of, the reasonable range.



OSFI

Presentation

- Purpose of the CPP Actuarial Report
- Demographic and Economic Assumptions
- CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan
- Uncertainty of Results
- Strengthening the Accountability and Peer Review



CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan

- *Steady-state funding*: replaces the original pay-asyou-go financing to build a reserve of assets equivalent over time to about five and a half years of benefit expenditures or about 25% of Plan liabilities.
- *Incremental full funding*: requires that changes to the CPP that increase benefits or add new benefits be fully funded (eg: increase in eligibility for disability benefits for long-term contributors).



CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan

Sources of Income

- CPP follows the 70:30 Rule (Contributions:Investment Earnings).
- When the A/E ratio reaches approximately 5.5, 30% of revenues will come from investment earnings.
- Sources of income of fully-funded pension plans are the opposite (the 30:70 Rule).

How annual benefits are paid

- From 2007 to 2019, contributions exceed benefits.
- Once the A/E ratio reaches about 5.5, annual contributions will equal approximately 90% of annual benefits paid.
- In 2050, 31% of investment earnings is required to pay benefits.



CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan



BSIF Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

CPP/QPP, Partially Funded Pension Plans



Higher projected life expectancies have more than offset better-than-anticipated experience

Reconciliation of the Minimum Contribution Rate

	Minimum Rate
Actuarial Report as at 31 December 2003	9.77
Better investment experience (2004 to 2006)	(0.09)
Higher participation and job creation rates (2004 to 2006)	(0.04)
Contribution rate with no change in assumptions	9.64
Higher projected life expectancies	0.16
More people asking their retirement benefit at age 60	0.05
Others (including Bill C-36)	(0.03)
Report as at 31 December 2006	9.82



Over three-year periods, benefits and contributions are easier to project than investment earnings.

Financial Status – 1998 to 2006

Actual minus Expected

(in billions of \$)

	Contributions	<u>Earnings</u>	Benefits	<u>Assets</u>	
1998 to 2000 (T. 67, p. 121)	+1.2	+0.1	-0.1	+1.4	(+3%)
2001 to 2003 (T.78, p. 122)	+1.7	-1.1	-0.1	+0.7	(+1%)
2004 to 2006 (T.16, p. 39)	<u>+0.1</u>	<u>+15.9</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>+16.0</u>	(+16%)
1998 to 2006	+3.0	+14.9	-0.2	+18.1	(+19%)



The CPP assets of \$ 36 billion at year-end 1997 were projected to reach \$ 96 billion at year-end 2006. The actual value is \$ 114 billion.

Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

Presentation

- Purpose of the CPP Actuarial Report
- Demographic and Economic Assumptions
- CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan
- Uncertainty of Results
- Strengthening the Accountability and Peer Review



Uncertainty of Results

- Younger and Older populations \rightarrow 9.1% and 10.7%.
- Equity shock -10% in both 2009 and 2010 → 9.82% to 9.98%. Assets reduced by \$28 billion by end of 2010.
- Individual tests show that minimum rate could vary significantly from best-estimate if other than best-estimate assumptions are realized over projection period. Examples are :
 - Higher Life Expectancies at $65 \rightarrow 9.82\%$ to 10.2%
 - Males 25 vs. 22 years in 2050 (currently 19)
 - Female 28 vs. 24 years in 2050 (currently 22)
 - Higher retirement benefit uptake at age $60 \rightarrow 9.82\%$ to 10.0%
 - Males from 40% to 60%
 - Females from 45% to 65%



Historical Real Wage Increase



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

Using the experience of the last 64 years, ending in 2006, the projected average real wage increase will be in the range 0.5% to 1.9% with 95% probability.



Historical Canadian Equity Real Return



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

BSIF

Using the experience of the last 69 years, ending in 2006, the projected average real rate of return will be in the range 2.7% to 5.7% with 95% probability.



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

Using the experience of the last 69 years, ending in 2006, the projected average real rate of return will be in the range 3.3% to 5.2% with 80% probability.



If the projection period is reduced to 10 years from 75 years, a wider confidence interval will result.



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

BSIF

Changing to the CPPIB Reference Portfolio produces similar results.



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

33

Sustainability of the 9.9% Contribution Rate Under Extreme Conditions for the next 6 years (2007-2012)

Asset Mix of CPP 23

<u>Minimum</u> <u>Contribution Rate</u>

9.96%

9.82%

Prob{Real Return < = -0.3%} = 10%

-0.3%

Real Rate of Return

 $3.4 \rightarrow 4.2\%$

Prob{Real Return > = 12.4%} = 10%12.4\%

9.37%



Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

Presentation

- Purpose of the CPP Actuarial Report
- Demographic and Economic Assumptions
- CPP, a Partially Funded Pension Plan
- Uncertainty of Results
- **Strengthening the Accountability and Peer Review**



Strengthening the Accountability in 1997

- Federal and provincial governments took meaningful steps to strengthen the transparency and accountability of actuarial reporting. They endorsed plans:
 - to review the CPP every three years, instead of every five years as before. Therefore, frequency of actuarial reporting was increased to three years with a further requirement to produce the report within one year of the valuation date.
 - to consult regularly with experts on assumptions to be used in actuarial reports;
 - to establish regular peer reviews of future actuarial reports on the CPP.
 - to supply actuarial information to Canadians in a timely manner.



CPP has been peer reviewed four times since 1998

- Role of the Auditor General and Selection Process
- Overseeing of the Peer Review by GAD
- Terms of Reference
 - Is the professional <u>experience</u> of the Chief Actuary and his staff adequate for carrying out the work required?
 - Does the work comply with professional <u>standards of practice</u> and statutory requirements?
 - Did the Chief Actuary have access to the **<u>information</u>** required?
 - Were the actuarial **methods and assumptions** used reasonable?
 - Does the actuarial report fairly **<u>communicate</u>** the results?



The Review Panel confirmed that...

- the staff is competent and qualified to carry out the work required;
- the work complies with all relevant professional standards of practice and statutory requirements;
- the Chief Actuary had access to the data and he completed relevant tests on the data as might be expected ;
- actuarial methods and assumptions are reasonable;
- assumptions are, in the aggregate, reasonable, but towards the high-cost side of the reasonable range;
- the report fairly communicates the results;
- and made twelve recommendations on data, methodology, assumptions, communications of results and other actuarial issues.



March 2008

The panel found...

- That each of the major assumptions was within the reasonable range.
- In their view,
 - six of the nine major assumptions are near the centre of the reasonable range, and
 - three assumptions are within, but towards the high or low side of, the reasonable range.





Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions Canada Bureau du surintendant des institutions financières Canada

Office of the Chief Actuary

Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

23rd Actuarial Report on the Canada Pension Plan as at 31 December 2006 and its Peer Review Process *Appendix*

Issues Looking Forward, the OECD Countries



16 June 2008



Provision of Retirement Income Security – What is Required?

- A retirement system built on the principles of:
 > Intergenerational equity
 - ➢ Solidarity: society protects all individuals and collectively ensures a basic level of assistance/standard of living for low-income retirees
 - Responsibility: retirement income security is a shared responsibility between the government, society, employers and individuals
- Incentives to remain in the labour force



OAS Program Financing



Government Net Financial Liabilities as % of GDP (G7 Countries)



OSFI BSIF

OECD Countries -

Increases in Normal Retirement Ages of State Pension Plans

	From	То	Transition Period
Austria	60 (F)	65 (F)	2024-2033
Belgium	60 (F)	65 (F)	by 2009
Czech Republic	57 (F) 60 (M)	65	by 2030 (proposed)
Denmark	65	67	2024-2027
Germany	65	67	2012-2029
Iceland	$67 \rightarrow 65 \rightarrow 67$		late 1980s – early 2000s



OECD Countries –

Increases in Normal Retirement Ages of State Pension Plans ...(cont'd)

	From	То	Transition Period		
Indond	Social insurance pensions: retirement pension paid from 65,				
Петапи	old age contributory pension paid from 66				
Japan	60	65	2000-2025 (M) / 2030 (F)		
Norway	70	67	1973		
		$(now ED \land 67)$	(proposed as part of		
		(IIEW EKA 02)	new pension system 2010)		
South Korea	60	65	2013-2033		
	60 (F)	65 (F)	2010-2020		
UK	65 (M, F)	68 (M, F)	2024-2046		
ΠC	65	66	2002-2008 (year attained 65)		
0.3.	66	67	2020-2026 (year attained 66)		



OECD Countries -

Life Expectancy at Average Exit Age from Labour Force*





Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

*Life expectancies are for 2006 except for U.S. (2004) and UK (2005). For Canada and U.S., effective average age of retirement is shown. 46 Sources: Eurostat, OECD, OCA, U.S. National Center for Health Statistics.

OECD Countries – Incidence of Low Income Among Seniors vs. Old Age Income Security Program Expenditures, as % GDP



47

OECD Countries – Net Replacement Rates at Different Earnings Levels (% of individual pre-retirement earnings)





Source: OECD pension models.

OECD Policy Brief: Solving the Pensions Puzzle, March 2005

Note: Chart reproduced by the OCA

Office of the Chief Actuary Bureau de l'actuaire en chef

An Efficient Retirement System Provides:

- Diversification of sources of retirement income
- Diversification of funding approaches
- Reasonable economic cost of public pensions (% of GDP)
- Reduction of poverty among seniors
- Reduction of income inequalities
- Maintenance of standard of living at retirement

